MINUTES OF THE
PACIFIC CONCERNS RESOURCE CENTER STEERING COMMITTEE
NINTH MEETING: April 1-8, 1985, REPUBLIC OF BELAU

The people of Meyuns, Republic of Belau, working through Kital-Reng and the Belau Pacific Center, hosted the ninth meeting of the PCRC Steering Committee (SC). Most of the meetings were held in the newly completed Belau Pacific Center building. Two days and nights of the meeting were held in the Rock Islands at Ngerong. Belauan elders had advised that this island should host part of the meeting. Other islands had better accommodations and conveniences. However, its name refers to the special meaning it holds because problems have been able to be solved there. Whenever families quarreled or chiefs disputed, they would come to Ngerong. At the time of departure, a ceremonial tree planting took place at Ngerong. Each person planted a coconut tree which was dedicated to different segments of the NFIP movement. The people of Meyuns provided extraordinary hospitality to all the visitors and made each one feel at home. The SC and staff expresses its deepest gratitude for all the kindnesses and services offered by the people of Meyuns.

PCRC SC Members: Region I, Louis Kotra Uregei, Kanaky (New Caledonia), representing Yann Celene Uregei; Region II, John Herrmann, Cook Islands, representing Lopeti Senituli, Tonga; Region III, Hilda Halkyard-Harawira, Aotearoa (NZ); Region IV, Roman Bedor, Republic of Belau; Region V, Ted Means, representing Bill Wapepah, USA; Region VI, Noa Emmett Aluli, Hawaii; Region VII, Sr. Ma. Aida Velasquez, OSB, Philippines
PCRC Staff: Kalama Akamine, Sandy Galazin
Interpreter: Ingrid Kircher
Chairperson: Roman Bedor chaired the meetings, with occasional assistance of John Herrmann.
Recorder: Sandy Galazin
Papers Circulated: See Appendix I for a list of papers circulated during the meeting.

The people of Meyuns hosted a Welcoming Ceremony and feast on the evening of April 1 to open the meeting.

GROUND RULES:
The Ninth Meeting of the PCRC SC formally began at 9:30 a.m. on April 2, with Roman as chairperson. The following Groundrules for the meeting were adopted:

1. The offer to chair the meeting was extended to Roman, our host, as a courtesy to the land and people who facilitated the meeting and in order to maintain a consistent flow so that the work of the meeting could be accomplished. Roman accepted and requested an option to ask for assistance as necessary.

2. Sandy will record the Minutes of the meeting. Kalama and Sandy will participate in discussions as fully as possible.

3. Only SC members vote on policy.

4. To speak, all need to be recognized by the chair.

5. Especially because of interpretation, all need to speak as carefully and loudly as possible.

6. All household chores will be handled by the people of Meyuns. If there are any shopping or airline needs, notify Roman.
7. A daily schedule was adopted for meeting: 9 to 10:30; 10:45 to 12:30; 3:00 to 4:30; 4:45 to 6:00; 8:30 to 10:30.

8. On Thursday at 7:00 a.m., the meeting site will change from Belau Pacific Center at Meyuns to Ngerong in the Rock Islands. The meeting will resume at BPC on Friday afternoon or Saturday morning.

9. The closing party on April 6 will include the opportunity for more exchange with the local people so that we can hear their stories.

During the discussions about groundrules, it was reported that VPCC staff member, Rex Rumakiek, had not been invited to participate. It was an oversight by staff. Further discussion was deferred until later in the agenda.

SC reviewed the Draft Agenda (Appendix II). SC added the adoption of the May 1984 SC meeting Minutes as an item immediately preceding the SC Regional Reports. SC changed Affiliate Office Reports so that they would follow the SC Regional Reports. There was a request to add an item: expectations of this meeting. It was decided that any SC expectations would be included during the time of their Regional Reports. The report of the PCRC Advisory Committee should be given during the time of Hawaii's Regional Report. With these changes, the SC adopted the meeting's agenda.

MAY 1984 SC MEETING MINUTES:

Sr. Aida made one clarification to those Minutes. Her comments on page four should read: 3) How can there be more concerted efforts in all regions to bring our movements closer together? With that correction, the Minutes of the 1984 SC meeting were adopted.

SC REGIONAL REPORTS:

It was decided that regional reports would be given in numerical order, with the exception of Region IV, Micronesia, which would be last. A time limit of 20 minutes was set for each report.
REGION I: KOTRA

My report is on whole region- but there will be emphasis on Kanaky. It is really important to have a centre to coordinate information and activities. I hope we can find solution to that problem.

East Timor/West Papua: We have no information about these countries. We could have had that through Rex. It is very sad that we have no information on East Timor and West Papua due to the fact that our colonial system censors that information. It is very important to address this problem. Tahiti: There is lots of current political movement. Gaston Flosse and his party determine politics in a quasi-dictatorial manner. Tahiti people are experiencing results of dictatorial manner. The butt of repression is being borne by trade unions and other organizations. The power of Flosse is having internal difficulties which opens new possibilities and hopes for movement there. We have sent two delegations last year, and this year we had one delegation. We have seen new hope in people and that Gaston Flosse knows he does not have the same grip of power. We hope that our comrades in Tahiti will find the unity to come together to stop repression of people and nuclear testing at Moruroa. We were invited to Tahiti and two ministers of our government, Yann Celene Uregei and Yeiwene Yeiwene went. Gaston Flosse was very opposed to the visit which was great success. We have not seen such large demonstrations since Pouvanga a Oopa. It was very important because rally was also against nuclear testing at Moruroa--to show links between nuclear and independence issues in the Pacific. Ia Mana Te Tua had organized an anti-nuclear rally and only 200 people came. The rally organized by Oscar Temaru--on independence and nuclear testing--brought out several thousand people. What is of critical importance is to realize that link: NFIP. They were intentionally linked. Vanuatu: There is a stable situation there now as the Republic reinforces itself. There is not much new to
report on economic and social life. I spoke to Barak Sope re political situation in Vanuatu and their stance on non-alignment. Vanuatu is independent from Great Britain and France. That is very important for us, an example we want to follow. We have unconditional support from Vanuatu's people and government through the support it has given to NFIP movement. It is very rare to get that support from a government. It needs to be emphasized because it is so rare. Yann Celene Uregai is official member of Vanuatu's delegation at the United Nations. Re VPCC: There were things we needed to do following last SC meeting, but they are undone. But I need to bring this issue to your attention. We need centre that coordinates and distributes information in the region.

Kanaky: Since May 1984, much has happened. I had laid out then what we had envisioned. The vote in France took place in July, and it was against wishes of Kanak people. The Independence Front called for convention 28 July 1984, and we decided not to take part in election to Territorial Assembly. In the Independence Front (IF), we had five political parties. One of the five, LKS, decided to take part in the elections and so they left the IF. But in fact LKS and France had agreements reached. French government thought that if they could lean on LKS, they could get their results. But events proved otherwise. It is very important because there would not have been an election without that step by LKS. September 24, 1984, we established FLNKS and immediately started to develop its own structure beginning with self-defence committees. Later elections were held by grassroots committees for our National Assembly.

On November 25, National Assembly voted. On December 1 our provisional government of Kanaky was established. Vanuaku Pati had ceremony that day to recognize Provisional Government. On November 18, we boycotted elections. Many events followed which led to Edgar Pisani's being sent to Kanaky to negotiate. In case referendum is positive, January 1, 1986 Kanaky will be an independent
country. You have followed these events through the media because we invited representatives to come from the international media. The fact that these events were covered internationally put pressure on the French government. That is positive element. From the time of Pisani's arrival, we put down our roadblocks and negotiated. The situation is tense. Day and night we have guards. We are never unarmed. Now all are awaiting which proposal the French government will come up with. This vote in the French Parliament will be by end of May. While French Government has given guarantees, we wait and see, knowing of past experience. A critical point is participation in that referendum. FLMKS will call for a Congress at end of April to give its position on French government plan. From that time on our opposition plans will be determined: boycott or not? Meanwhile, we have appealed for outside support; we have sent missions to Pacific region and Europe. Re Australia, I went there twice. We have two very active support committees in Melbourne and Sydney. Since the beginning of December until now, we have 60 FLMKS support committees in France, Switzerland, the Netherlands, and Sweden. We are in period of waiting, but we are looking at our weaknesses. We need an Information Bureau to solve problems in communication to interior of islands. We know that the opposition is consolidating its forces. They are buying weapons. What we have lived through may be nothing in comparison to the future. The more we have hardened our stance toward independence the stronger we have become. Nationalistic spirit is growing. We had our moment of freedom in November. Determination is so strong that we are certain we will win our independence. In peace—this is what we want. Yet we must be aware—they want a massacre. We must be prepared for that.
Discussion

To the question as to whether there were any specific actions that the SC can provide,

Kotra responded:

I had forgotten mission given me by the Minister of Foreign Relations! We want to ask this SC for resolution of support to be distributed in the Pacific, Europe, UN. Keep a close eye on what is happening in our country. Get the word out in your regions. If you have occasion to speak at international forums, please mention Kanaky. Help us obtain financial support. You know what an independence movement needs: radio station, newspaper. We emphasize solidarity. I thank all of you for your support during the last two years. It has meant a lot. It is very important to give solidarity to each other's struggles.

We have seen reemergence of independence movements in French colonies. We have been hope for them. This is a victory already. Yann goes to French Antilles today. French opposition is mobilizing against it. From Antilles to Tahiti there is hope over colonial rule. Aida and Roman commented that the Kanaky struggle has received a lot of coverage in the Philippines and in Guam paper—with good perspective. John added that there are ways that the University of South Pacific can assist indirectly as it did during Vanuatu's struggle. USP published Road to Independence in 1975 and two other booklets by Walter Lini. USP also conducted workshops and summer schools for Ni-Vanuatu. It can do the same for Kanaky. Publications are especially necessary after independence when there are attempts to destabilize the new government.

REGION II: JOHN

My report is not prepared as it should be. I looked at suggested format. I will try to have it typed. Warm aloha to Lopeti for his confidence in asking me to be here and to SC for accepting me. I apologize if I sound like you don't
know the region. I offer some facts and some observations. I am also raising
items listed later in the agenda. All countries in our region are politically
independent except for Cook Islands, Niue, and Tokelau which are self-governing.
We are politically independent, but there is continuation of colonial situation.
Neo-colonialism grows. It is just as frightening to us as it is to others who
are still struggling for political independence. How did it come about? In
1978, US Ambassador in Fiji said: US recognizes Pacific region as Last
Frontier. The US plans to develop confidence of Pacific governments. One
example shows that policy has happened. Look at Fiji. This strategy we be-
lieve is happening to other countries. Ratu Mara was invited to White House.
This was the first time for Pacific country. No coincidence that US nominated
him as Man of the Pacific. There is great intensity in US intentions. Men-
tality of dependence exists in our region. More aid was given to Fiji. In 1983
Fiji received six million for personnel and Peace Corps. The new bilateral be-
tween Fiji and US is the first for any Pacific nation. There are more promises
but no definite commitment from US. Our countries fall into believing what US
says. US will look into sugar market and land rights on Western coast. In
exchange, Fiji offered fishing rights. $300,000 was given by US for good work
Fiji has done in Middle East. Another example is Cook Islands. Today there are
twelve people from Peace Corps in prominent positions where there were none four
years ago. We see a Divide & Rule strategy. Fiji opposed NZ Lange's position
at SPF. Tom Davis said Lange's policy does not make sense. Tonga and Western
Samoa leaders said the same; US manipulates us through Australian & NZ econo-
mics. PNG praised NZ. Solomon Islands and Vanuatu praised. We must come to-
gether in regional strategy. We are being picked off one by one. My requests
are these: We cannot see economic strategy outside of the political situation.
Our people are contented and apathetic. We need tools—video tapes, talking
Sydney, Melbourne, and Adelaide; Aotearoa – Auckland, Wellington, Christchurch, and Dunedin. The chart listed the major NFIP campaigns in Australia: Kanaky, West Papua, East Timor, land rights, Belau, support for NZ stand, Non Proliferation Treaty, Pine Gap, cruise missile, waste dumping, French testing – PPV, Peace bus, and lobbying. In Aotearoa, the major NFIP campaigns are: Treaty of Waitangi, Pacific Peace voyage, Marshall Islands, Tahiti, International Year of Peace, NFIP seminar, Kanaky, Belau, Philippines, Tomahawk, American Indians, ANZUS, Tangimoana satellite, racism and colonialism in Aotearoa, young people, cooperatives and trusts, East Timor, RIMPAC, 1985. All Black Tour in South Africa. The chart also listed upcoming events in which NFIP issues can be raised: South Pacific Women’s Peace Forum – June 28 in Australia; Nairobi, Kenya, UN Conference marking the Decade on Women; Pacific Trade Union Forum, Auckland, 1986; NFIP Hui, March 1986, Australia; Te Hui Oranga 1986, Aotearoa; National Maori Peace Conference. The chart further illustrated that nuclear testing had occurred at Maralinga, Australia. Using the chart and map, Hilda reported: People are using creative ways to educate people. For example, peace bus in Australia takes materials to where people are. I really like the work they have done in Australia. In Aotearoa, there are small groups; e.g. one woman in Auckland works alone on East Timor with three others in Wellington. Each group usually chooses three focus issues. Usually, groups are set up following someone’s visits. To be NFIP in Aotearoa, you need to recognize the Treaty of Waitangi. We are trying to halt Aotearoa’s participation in the 1985 Springboks tour in South Africa. Greenpeace Rainbow Warrior needs more indigenous crew. Education on racism is ongoing. I expected NFIP movement to swell after November 18 boycott and all that happened since then in Kanaky. For Te Hui Oranga, this year we had seven overseas visitors: Two PKO: two Native Americans; and one from Tahiti. They travelled throughout the country.
to disseminate information, rather than concentrate on one major gathering in Auckland. 1986, UN Year of Peace, is gaining attention. We try to encourage people to go out to major conferences in order to raise NFIP issues. We are realizing that we need to work in trade unions more and in church groups. NFIP hui in Australia, March, 1986, is set up to advance their organizing within Australia. They need to help people build their own personal commitment and not depend on someone else's NFIP experiences. In Aotearoa we are told we do things the white way. We need to learn along the way. We hope people will continue the work. There are ten seats for Pacific representatives to Nicaragua in October 1985 for the Second International Meeting of Peace and Liberation Movements. Organizers are paying, but those who go must pay 25% of their airfare. We want to organize work brigades to Nicaragua and Cuba. I want us to talk about having an Indigenous Peoples Conference at same time as NFIPC.

PPANAC: Congratulations to Roman on this place. I hope that PCRC can do the same thing. We translate information into easy English and into Maori. We are trying to raise $5-8,000 to bring our new building up to standard. It will be a Maori Movement Centre under PPANAC's name. WAC, NFIP, whatever else is important will be housed there. On the national level, PPANAC is lobbying re using NFIP Charter as alternative to ANZUS. We translated it into easy English. PPANAC is also lobbying with Maori MPs for position on anti-nuke stance. Our region needs more interchange between Australia & Aotearoa re Aborigines and Maoris. I'm moving north—to first Maori nation. We will live in bush. We expect it will take two months to settle in. People are telling me we cannot do it from up there. We'll see. Ngaire is now the official Asia/Pacific person for PPANAC. We are staying alive and carrying on a few campaigns. We get through by the skin of our teeth.

Discussion: Louis commented: We are very appreciative of all Maori brothers
and sisters help for us in our struggle. I think that will continue to develop. Re Claude’s trip to Aotearoa, it was organized by Federation of Labour. He sought contact with Maori groups. Trade Unions in June will organize conference in Aust-Aotearoa in support of Kanaky as was decided in February. Everytime someone pays our trip, that organization wants to take charge of us. We need to be aware yet get the message across to as many as possible. Ted reported: Many of our native American people come back from Aust-Aotearoa with message of grassroots commitment to struggle that is going on. They contribute to our movement a message of struggle. We are not alone. John said: Small tiny movements dealing with real people are the best. Your report has lots of hope for me. It is much simpler to do support work for those outside than to challenge our own society. If time allows, we need to improve our format on speaking tours. Could we develop format during campaign section? We must be ready to adapt to our audiences. Aida asked: Can relationships be developed so that each side takes up the other issues?

REGION V: TED

Ted began by reading a letter from USPIN which authorized him to replace Bill Wapepah for this meeting. A report from USPIN was distributed. There is lots of good positive work coming out of USPIN office. Bill is doing well. He was very excited about coming here. We were in Geneva together for February at the Human Rights Commission (HRC). He extends his good wishes. There is nothing in this report on Native American Indians. There has been a lack of communication between USPIN office and our movements. When the office was in San Francisco, it was a better location for us. There was a more consistent flow of information. We will see to it that there is more direct communication with Pacific peoples. We feel like stepchildren. We do not lay this burden on the SC, but to inform you that we will deal with these issues. IITC have gone to
HRC for seven years. This year in one of our interventions we mentioned Kanaky to raise the issue, not to speak for Kanaky. We began an education process of people throughout world. This is a vehicle we can share with people of Pacific. IITC has consultative status in UN. It provides us with forum. We do not expect answers to our problems there but an understanding of our struggles grows.

Our annual IITC meeting will be held June 27 - July 3, at Evergreen College near Olympia, WA. We ask that any communication that comes out of PCRC and any other offices, please send it to Bill Wapepah also so that we can solidify the communications network. We have had some successes in our struggles: 1) We stopped an attempt by US government to authorize a zelites mining operation at Pine Ridge. We have an 85+% unemployment rate so promised jobs were attractive. But zelites is carcinogenic and is used to line nuclear waste disposal sites.

2) In Black Hills, a waste disposal chemical nuclear site was being planned. Black Hills Alliance campaigned, headed by Nick Minehart, to get initiative on ballot in state elections in November '84. It passed. From now on, any development of any type—mineral, nuclear—before state can proceed, state must consult voters to give people of South Dakota a voice. 3) We stopped Union Carbide from uranium mining through litigation. 4) We stopped South Dakota from selling water from Missouri River. We overloaded courts with lawsuits.

Since April 4, 1981, South Dakota at Yellow Thunder Camp, we occupied 800 acres in Black Hills, our sacred area. As far as Canada goes, there the proposed constitution is the struggle. It deprives Indian people of their rights as guaranteed by treaty. There was no Indian input in that section. Ron Lameman was elected to Council of his band so he is busy dealing with those issues. He travelled to Australia for one month. We want to develop plan to bring more Indian people to Pacific and vice versa. Our big struggle in 1986 will be Big Mountain and the relocation of 14,000 people. I have here the IITC most
recent newsletter—March 1984. I also have here some copies of Owate Wicaho which is widely distributed. We send it in bulk to Indians. We send it to supporters who pay our subscription rates. AIM has a 1,000 watt FM radio station since February 1983. It reaches every home at Pine Ridge. Most community radios are supported by the community they serve. Our annual income is 4X lower than average. This past month the radio conducted telethon and we received $23,000 in pledges. Only $1,500 was from outside. I will use the radio to report on SC meeting. I will speak to more significant items later on agenda. Our radio station's call letters are KILL. It means something special, right on. We will have more consistent communication coming out of NA to people in Pacific. I am extending an official invitation to PCRC/SC to this year's IITC meeting. We support Louis or another Kanak and we ask PCRC to pay travel costs.

Discussion: Hilda commented that the Maori movement usually sends one representative to IITC annual meeting but now all want to come in 1986 for Big Mountain!! John asked what language is used on the radio station. Ted responded that both Lakota and English are used and that it broadcasts 18 hours each day.

REGION VI: EMMETT

My report will include my expectations; NFIP Network; Advisory Committee. My expectations of this meeting are: 1) Get clear directions re Hawai'i's role for SC and Advisory Committee; 2) Need to ease the tensions for the transition period and nebulous placement of PCRC's office; 3) To better understand problems that regions have in their networking; 4) settle on more systematic fund-raising scheme so that we don't break down; and 5) Hammer out key campaigns as to Hawai'i's role—Kanaky, Belau constitution, French testing at Moruroa because of Arts Festival there because Hawaiians are going there, and Tomahawk.
First, I will update you on NFIP activists: We lost Uncle Anum—he died at home. Uncle Harry is well. Moani is Trustee of OHA. Nelson Foster is no longer with AFSC but he is doing SLCM's campaign. Kuu is back on. Davianna took break to do her PHD orals in Political Science. Colette runs alternative school on Molokai. NFIP Hawaii issues: We are not as organized as we were coming into the Vanuatu Conference. We worked at defining it post NFIPC. We have not analyzed reasons for its failure. We still hope to develop a strong network. On page 11 of the 1984 SC May Minutes you see that SC has directed Hawaii to do this, but we never returned to issue post RIMPAC. The help for PKO was great via PCRC for RIMPAC 1984. We have not begun to discuss RIMPAC 1986. We hope to do lots of grassroots organizing. After the last SC meeting, I went to Canada. They did good work: set up meeting with the mayor of Vancouver; raised money; Greenpeace; set up meetings with Toronto organizations. In Ottawa we met with the Assembly of First Nations. It was a successful campaign.

Hawaii NF Zones: Hawaii County reverted its ordinance. The Japanese Chamber of Commerce invited the navy to participate in events there. Albertini got busted. Trial is postponed until June, and he can get 5-10 years. We try to connect NFZ with Hawaiian concept of puu honua, zone of peace. It can bring more Hawaiian support. Maui County ordinance is fighting the supremacy act which says that state or county cannot control their own waters. Chamber of Commerce and Rotary Club have tried to reverse the ordinance. Navy ships come for R & R. Homeporting of USS Missouri class destroyers is another issue. SF and Honolulu are in contention for the homeporting. University of Hawaii has a course on Nuclear Perspectives in which Sandy has lectured. About the Advisory Committee, I will read aloud p. 10 of SC Minutes. We mailed you one report on March 6. This is second report. We are talking about eight months of inactivity. We were called together by Nani, Kalama, and Carol to hear their
complaints. It was very hard because we were not activating ourselves. Everything is done in hindsight. We bring in bias, experience, prejudices. We desire to be neutral. So we decided to meet with all four staff to seek resolution. We encouraged staff to bring work up to date, so we set up schedule of office hours and work to be completed. Land Rights: Three or four groups do this work: bureaucrats in state legislature; OHA and Native American program; factions of grassroots movement. PKO since 1976 has been statewide. To rid island of goats has been one goal. We now have the 5th Project Manager for Navy in 10 years. We are revegetating island with native plants. Accesses are not going well because we are working on neighboring islands. We will gear up during summer. We're constantly hassling developers so that commoners can have access to beaches. They guarantee jobs, but they destroy shrines, take water. Developers are smart and are buying local activists. On April 8 we go to court on shoreline for 12 days of hearings. Bikini Islanders wanted Maui land. We tried to mediate but couldn't. Settlement has now been reached to clean up Bikini. South Point: We have sponsored a halau to do ceremonies. They wanted South Point as a missile launching site. It is sacred to Maori. We got $45,000 for historic preservation. We look at ourselves as stewards of archaeological sites. Geothermal energy: Pele will take care! At site of proposed area, 62% of land has been destroyed that was put up for geothermal. Pele does not translate into geothermal. Native reparations claims are dead now. Bishop Estate has been told to sell lands, not to hold it in trust. My new feeling, avenue on organizing: WHO risks of hypertension with 42 other countries. We are able to activate Molokai community so that they can own the study. No. 1 in death by cancer are Hawaiians.

Discussion: Ted asked whether Emmett had any information on geothermal energy since they are looking to geothermal energy as an alternative. Both Emmett and
Hilda will send information to Ted. Emmett will also send the health study design to Ted.

REGION VII: SR. AIDA

We have not had much communication with Japan. In order to facilitate communications, I asked Sandy to send info to John Kanzaki that she sends to me. Manami contacted Sandy about my airfare. From Japan, I have received information on waste dumping, Tomahawk, and mailings from Gensuikin, Gensuikyo, and the new Peace Office. I have brought papers about the crisis in the Philippines and the protest from whole cross section of population. The issues are: tuition fees; fertilizer costs; the government subsidy for farmers; relocation of squatters; teachers' salaries; human rights; political detainees. Among these issues are nuclear ones. Bataan and anti-bases issues are the nuclear issues. Anti bases is a nationalist issue. It dates back to 1930's. Civil Liberties Union took it up earlier. Now Atty. Diokno heads the Anti-Bases Coalition. He may not have enough time to communicate directly. Bataan nuclear power plant is seen as peace and justice issue. It was spearheaded by Nuclear Free Philippines Coalition originally. Now another alliance of groups has been formed: scientists, opposition in Parliament, Women in Media. The environmental movement is my work which includes Bataan. We do info dissemination. We got copy of contract for plant. In May there will be a public hearing. We want to bring in Robert Pollard. US announced that it will deploy nuclear weapons in eight countries without the knowledge of their governments. In February I participated at Global Meeting on Environment & Development in Kenya. I met there people based in London who are working on LDC and NPT. Ruth Lechte was also there and will help to inform PCRC network. My expectation of this meeting is to go towards streamlined office with stress on more regional work.
Discussion: Ted commented that the problem of communication is a common issue, one that we need to deal with at some point. We need to clearly define role and responsibility of SC members. Aida pointed out that the pamphlet on Bataan attempts to pre-empt public hearing which is now set for early May. Teachers and church groups gave financial support so that 30,000 were printed. I believe that looking at the environment is good for conscious raising. Some prefer socio-political-economic analysis. What happens to environment is symptomatic of socio-political-economic reality. Island communities are fragile especially and so concentrating on the environment would be a good way to involve more people in NFIP issues.

REGION IV: ROMAN

The Micronesia region includes Guam, Saipan, FSM, Marshall Islands, and Belau.

Marshall Islands: I am not able to work with MI as close as I would like because of cost of communications. People we rely on are quite busy with hard work. They are doing work on health and the Compact of Free Association.

We express support and try to publicize their cause. FSM: Most NFIP work is handled by the government, especially the waste dumping issue. There are no real grassroots organizations. Activists there expressed hope that the government is doing their work for them since the government works more with their people. There are some good leaders there. Guam: We work closely with them: Chamorro Grassroots Movement and OPIR. Some people maintain the two organizations. We are able to maintain relationship. Land rights, self-deter-
mination, land compensation are their issues. Problems stem from 1950 when US took lands for military, some through eminent domain. In Guam, they are US citizens so that US laws could be basis of land claims. There is only delegate status for Guam in US Congress. Land compensation is good case. They have reached a $30 million out of court settlement. James Castro's family owns the
land where Anderson AFB is. But their association is split. The majority want 
the money, but Castro family wants land back. Self-determination: US annexed 
Guam through the organic act. In any amendments, Guam has been ignored. Yet 
Chamorros in CNMI have right in referendum to exercise their right. The 
government of Guam suggests they should have referendum. Who should vote in 
Guam is the issue. Chamorros are minority. 28% are Filipinos. Others are US 
military. Great majority say US passport bearers should vote. We are giving 
legal support work from here. In Micronesia, ethnic groups were able to vote 
separately. The standard given to Micronesia has been denied to Chamorros. 
The UN Committee on Decolonization held a meeting in PNG recently. Hope 
Cristobal went from Guam to address this meeting. In June, there will be 
another meeting in Havana, Cuba. Guam and Belau are invited. We are sending 
paper. Guam will go. Saipan: Waste dumping is the issue and Sister Yasuko 
handles that. Churches and government people are involved. Twenty went to 
Japan for March 1. They are trying for a 200-mile-NFZ to prevent nuclear 
weapons. However, the intent of bill is confused because of an error of language. 
Pohnpei has nuclear free constitution which was ratified in referendum. Yap 
also has one. With the exceptions of Marshall Islands and Guam, we have nuclear-
free area in Micronesia. 

Belau Pacific Center: To build a good Pacific network, we must be involved in 
exchanging activists. Susan from US was here for one year and left in November 
1984. Grace was here from Aotearoa for three months. Kierein has been here over 
one year. He was sponsored by a group so that now we can send one Belauan to 
Europe in return. The person we send must be able to represent not only BPC 
but also Belau. There are candidates but drinking began and we could not send 
him. We are planning a new move to invite couples and families. It is not 
boring work. By December, a house for their use will be finished. Terms will
vary, maybe one or two months. We have three positions and hope to advertise
them in May. Basic office equipment has been donated. Japan has offered some
scholarships. Xavier will go to Japan for one year to study agriculture, es-
pecially rice planting. Transportation and living expenses are all paid for.
Here in Belau we have no studio to develop black and white pictures. Someone
will go to study photography, and Japan will provide us with the equipment. No
one in the private sector does that. Our program at BPC deal with peoples' percep-
tions. Now all we buy is chicken and rice. The rest of our food we plant
through a project we initiated. We need to deprogram our people. Simplicity
of life and poverty are not the same. We should not be ashamed but rather
advocate simplicity of life. Status of Belau: We had our fifth referendum
last September yet there is still no amendment to our constitution. The sixth
referendum is coming up. Belauans are being pressed to give up our nuclear
free constitution. Many have lost trust. US is not listening. They ask: why
should we go to the polls? US is using the Marshalls and FSM to say that Belau
is holding up the Compact of Free Association and stopping the trusteeship from
being terminated. There is a divide and conquer strategy.
Discussion: Kalama asked about the mechanics of internship program. Roman
responded that we are caretakers. Ownership is by everyone. In accepting
interns, we took into consideration the people who are our allies. We also see
that lots of people run against the wall. Maybe one way is to take them away
from their own struggle for awhile. Internship is not a vacation. What can
you do? Grace was good at organizing. Susan was good at the office. We are
flexible, designed for the activists. We want interns to understand our
society. No, we do not offer a salary. This year we will submit our funding
request separate from any organization. Green Party is interested. I go there
at end of April. Airfare to come here may be provided for some. We would like
to see an exchange of staff with PPANAC, PCRC, other NFIP centers. Ted asked whether his organization could work out an agreement to send two since one often gets lonesome. Roman answered that accommodation is no problem. Groups in Japan have connections with Japan Airlines. They could donate tickets but not money. Japan does not have funding groups, but they do have other resources. Hilda questioned whether ten Micronesians can go to the September LDC meeting in London as Ti had suggested. We want to support. We need lots of info. Several groups want to make waste dumping their main focus. Helen Clark is willing to come to Belau and to offer support here. In response to a question about Belau's political status, Roman said that during the last referendum, we had to supply transport. Only those who want the Compact will vote. We had 18 cars to take people to polls. People are discouraged after five plebiscites. John asked whether a boycott of the plebiscite was considered. Roman replied we feared we would be minority. Ted asked: How did you get 75%? Most treaties have 3/4 clause. Treaty cannot be changed unless 3/4 male adults sign. Roman replied that the people were worried about their leaders being bribed and so they got the 75% clause written into the constitution very early.

AFFILIATE OFFICES

Reports on PPANAC and Belau Pacific Center were included in Regional Reports. US Pacific Issues Network: Discussion showed need for improved communication between USPIN offices and Native American movement. SC agreed that that discussion needs to occur internally within the region. There was a suggestion to hold an informal discussion, outside of SC meeting time, with Ingrid. Vanuatu Pacific Community Centre: It was reported that one Interim Steering Committee member of VPCC, Charlie Ching, had written to PCRC following the circulation of the Position Paper on the Relationship between PCRC and VPCC last June. Charlie was positive about continued relationship between PCRC and
VPCC. Rex expressed the similar sentiments in a telephone call to PCRC during 1984. There has been very little communication between VPCC and PCRC during the past year. It has been reported that VPCC is no longer functioning. SC decided to telephone VPCC and ask Rex to come to Fiji next weekend when Yann and Roman will be there so that there can be some clarity. PCRC needs Rex's signature on a grant contract before the funds can be released to PCRC.

STAFF REPORTS

Kalama presented two reports: 1) **Statement of Income, Expenses, and Fund Balances January 1, 1984 - December 31, 1984** (See Appendix III); and 2) **Consolidated Report of Income, Expenses, and Fund Balances January - March 1985** (See Appendix IV). Kalama explained that the two reporting formats differed because of suggestions for revisions that he received after the second report was completed. Kalama pointed out that:

1) On the first report, page two, the beginning Fund Balance should be dated January 1, 1984 and the End Fund Balance should be dated December 31, 1984.

2) On the first report, page one, the word Advancements has been used to replace the word Loans. PCRC in September received an Advancement of $6,000 on a pledged grant.

3) On the first report, page two, the word Loan appears. The $9,000 amount in Expenses indicates that the September 1984 Advancement of $6,000 was repaid during 1984 and that an outstanding loan of $3,000 from 1983 was repaid during 1984. Discussion concluded that the words Advancements Repaid should replace the word Loan in the expense report.

4) On the first report, page two, the Inventory of $19,633.34 represents the PCRC educational resources that are available for sale. For example, PCRC has on stock eleven cases of NFIPC/1983 Reports and other materials for which we pay storage rental.
During discussion, the following recommendations emerged:

1) That the financial statements must include another category under Assets, that of Pledged Funds, for PCRC's financial picture to be even more clear. Pledged funds are those for which PCRC has a definite commitment from a financial partner, but which have not yet been transferred to PCRC. Therefore, the 1984 Financial Statement needs to be revised to include that category. It also means that PCRC is in a better financial picture than the second report indicates because of the $18,350 in Pledged Funds (ICCO: $10,000; CCFD: $6,600; Commission on Interchurch Aid: $2,500; and Gensuikin: $250).

2) That the Inventory of Resources be downgraded to the actual costs of the items to PCRC rather than the retail value of their single copy walk-in price.

3) That the SC make every effort to contact Rex to obtain his signature on PCRC's contract with KCO so that the second half of PCRC's 1984 grant can be transferred from ICCO to PCRC. Staff explained there are three conditions by ICCO before the funds can be transferred:
   a) Rex's signature; b) a comprehensive narrative report on how PCRC implemented its goals for 1983-1984; and c) financial reports for 1984. ICCO requires three financial reporting forms. The second condition has been met. PCRC needs to repay a $10,000 advancement; the agreed upon date of return is April 21, 1985.

At the conclusion of the discussion, it was pointed out that PCRC has reduced its deficit of $23,000 to $3,000 within one year.

Sandy presented three reports, the first of which was Fundraising Report to SC Meeting/Sandy's Projected PCRC Work to April 30, 1985. Discussion clarified:

1) that Kalama will be able to complete the financial reports for four funding partners so that Sandy can circulate both a narrative and financial report.
to PCRC's 1984 funding partners before she leaves; and 2) that Sandy will be available during May to assist in the transition period, except for May 3-15 and May 24-30 when she will be away from Hawaii.

The second report was Implementation of PCRC's Goals for 1983-1984. Discussion centered on what kind of narrative reporting is necessary for PCRC's funding partners. In the past, Minutes of SC meetings have been circulated by PCRC to major funding partners and to key activists. Recently, one funding agency suggested that SC Regional Reports would be an acceptable form of reporting for them. Policies on this issue were deferred until later in the meeting. It was agreed that the report under discussion was acceptable for circulation to funding partners, along with the 1984 Financial Statement.

The third report was the 1985-1986 PCRC Funding Request. Sandy expressed gratitude to PCRC's Finance Committee members, PCRC staff, Advisory Committee members—present and past—for making this the most comprehensive statement of PCRC's program and budget since 1980. This Request was sent to four financial partners and will be circulated more widely, following SC review and approval. Emmett asked how much PCRC has raised since the 1980 conference; the answer is over $528,000. From SC discussion, the following comments and recommendations emerged:

1) PCRC has really accomplished a lot in five years.

2) pp. 11-12: Can Pacific Bulletin and Pandanus Periodical be merged into one publication?

3) p. 12: We really need the NFIP slideshow and hope it can get done this year, especially since we first asked for it in 1980! Could the scripts be prepared in this order: English/French/Pidgin/Japanese?

4) p. 12: New PCRC resource book is a very good idea, but we may not want PCRC staff to use their time to do this.
5) p. 14: Emmett and Sandy have already endorsed the funding proposal of the Pacific Campaign Against SCCM's, on behalf of PCRC.

6) p. 17: By-Laws of PCRC and PCRC Educational Fund should be circulated to all SC members. Although they were made available at the May 1984 SC meeting, it is necessary that SC understand the legal ramifications of PCRC for which they are ultimately accountable. Kalama commented that the Board of Directors for PCRC Ed Fund needs to change because family members are prohibited from serving simultaneously. Further discussion was deferred until later in the agenda.

7) p. 18: Long-term fundraising plans were reviewed, but no priority or direction was set for staff implementation. Additional suggestions were offered: a) ask Carol and Ping Ferry for a more substantial list of US based funders to whom we can appeal. 80% of donations to groups such as ours comes from individual donors, rather than from funding agencies; b) develop a radio fundraiser—in Hawaii—for PCRC; c) contract with a US based fundraiser who would receive a percentage of funds contributed; d) a mailing list of 50,000 Hawaiians has been developed which may be available to PCRC.

8) p. 19: The figures for the Projected Budget are based on SC recommendations from 1984 SC meeting and on actual 1984 expenses.

9) p. 20: Marshall Islands Correspondent communication costs was not acceptable to SC, as written. The line item had not received prior approval of SC from Micronesia; it gives priority to Marshall Islands over the needs of the regions; it could be a poor precedent; there's no question that the person does much needed work for NFIP and PCRC; these comments showed the need for SC to approve Funding Requests before submission. Policy decision was deferred until later in the meeting.
Revisions to the Funding Request should be made before circulating it to other funding partners. Sandy requested that the SC name the PCRC representative with whom funding partners can communicate during a transition period.

Puanani submitted three reports which were considered later in the agenda when Campaigns were discussed. The three reports were:

Campaign support Status Report; Chart of Key Activists and Organizations for Each NFIP Campaign; PCRC New Brochure—Draft III.

PROBLEMS FACING PCRC

During the second day of meeting, SC asked Sandy, Kalama, and Emmett to share their perceptions of the problems at PCRC. Their comments and ensuing SC discussion were tape-recorded with the understanding that the tapes would not be duplicated for sharing and that a word-for-word transcription would not be produced. Hilda has the tapes. From that exchange, the following problems facing PCRC were named and discussed:

1) **dependency on host country's network** PCRC does not operate in a vacuum. It needs backing. Currently, the network in Hawaii experiences conflict between its own local movement and the NFIP movement. The nature of the conflict was not clearly defined. Is it a conflict between the work of the center and local Hawaiian Sovereignty work? Is it just PKO or is it other organizations also? The conflict might be about land struggles that are not mandated by NFIPC/1983. A solution must be found.

2) **personal loyalties: SC members, staff and SC, staff and others**

The problem is that personal friendships lead to lobbying and supporting each other's ideas and projects without being open to criticism. This can be dealt with by a clear idea of accountability. Both staff
and SC must be accountable. If there is any Advisory Committee, it must also be accountable.

3) **Staff personalities**

4) **big workload** The problem is not being able to do all the work that there is to do.

5) **competency and security** There was disagreement about the nature of this stated problem. One said that competency is the issue and that we're learning as we're going along yet we're judging also. Another said it's not competency, but rather there is a need for everyone to be honest and frank and to look at ourselves first. What kind of security also needed clarification. Financial security does not mean personal security. Security exists in the mind when you are in the movement.

6) **ideals of the organization VS the reality** There was a notion that SC sets very high ideals which are not able to be reached because of the reality. Two pointed out that staff expectations of themselves are higher than that of SC for staff.

7) **racism, lack of trust, not recognizing individual contributions**

No one wants lack of trust to exist among staff. One person commented that each of us has some problems with racism and that it is good for us to recognize the problem exists within ourselves. It can easily be called racism when there are other internal elements going on inside me. Racism can be used as an excuse for negligence, inability to do the work, as an escape mechanism. It happens when I don't recognize that others threaten me. Since this is one movement, all have lots of work to do to remove psychological barriers. When racism exists in PCRC, it is reflective of the global problem of becoming one.
Another commented that it is wrong to think that racism will just go away. That person said he was disappointed by his own people who become worse than those who were there before them. Recognizing individual contributions is very important. Always use a positive attitude to deal with a negative situation. Another said that competition obstructs the individual contribution and recognition that comes through cooperation. Friendly competition is OK, but when it becomes aggressive, we lose sight of our goals. We must always be accountable to the people we serve. The final comment was a request for tolerance. If we are able to be tolerant, improvement would come to the situation.

8) bureaucracy There are different approaches to work which creates tension. Pacific Island work styles differ. To gain trust, don't tell me to trust you. Show us you can be trusted. We lead by example.

9) replacement for Sandy/Staffing Staff recruitment and replacement will always be a problem. An example was given of someone taking on the work and doing it successfully even when others had anticipated a training period would be necessary. PCRC has established procedures for staff search so that anyone from the Pacific can apply. In the example used, SC had agreed to give priority if that person had applied. Current staff commented that to think we would let PCRC go down the drain is wrong. We will do whatever is possible to make it work.

10) sporadic communication Consistency in communication may be a better way to state this problem which needs to be addressed.

It was agreed that enough time had been spent on discussing and clarifying the problems facing PCRC. It was noted that we should keep these problems before us and consider them from time to time.
tools to bring the message to the people. It's costly but we need them. This year in Cook Islands we are hosting games; SPF; PI Development Conference. I need list of what's available on video tapes. I've bought seven tapes already. US $500 is too much for one. Up to US $50, we can afford. I have always kept low profile. All of us have stories to tell, yet we must understand priorities. We in the Cook Islands are losing our language and our culture. Elaine Shaw came and it was good but only for the nuclear struggle. Oral communication is the medium in the Pacific. I need video tapes that show the independence struggles. Secondly, I invite you to hold the next SC meeting in Cook Islands. I am not the SC member; Lopeti is. Venue and accommodations are available. Hilda Lini came recently to Rarotonga. She has sent a letter to this SC meeting. Women are awakening in Pacific. In summary, I have three points:

1) Kanak struggle is big priority now. Prolongation of struggle will have ripple effect throughout the Pacific. 2) Video tapes on independence struggles is what we need. Vanuatu independence film we are requesting through Walter Lini. Independence struggle remains abstract. If people can see it, it becomes real; and 3) We are able to facilitate the next SC meeting in Rarotonga.

Discussion: Ted commented that it would be good if PCRC could develop video resources on specific struggles, especially interviews with the people affected. Louis added that Neo-colonialism is threat all face who seek independence. We have discussed it a lot. For us, the answer is to give people a political consciousness. We are not completely aware of dangers of imperialist system. We first fight outside pressure; later the colonialist consciousness emerges from within ourselves.

REGION III: HILDA

Hilda had developed a chart and map which illustrated her regional report. The map showed the areas in which NFIP centres are located: Australia - Brisbane,
PROCESS FOR REMAINDER OF SC MEETING

After almost three full days of plenary sessions, the SC decided to break into task groups in order to identify all issues needing action for each agenda item and to prepare recommendations for full discussion and SC decision during the plenary sessions. That process continued for the next four days. The evaluation of the meeting and farewell feast occurred on April 6. On the morning of April 7, Emmett, Ted, and Kalama began their journey home. SC continued to meet on April 7 and 8.

PCRC STAFFING AND SITE

Aida, Roman, and Kalama formed this task group. They reported:

1) transition period there should be a transition period, but when does this period end? when Sandy's replacement is hired? until the next conference when a decision can be made on the site of PCRC?

2) process of hiring we need to review past experience and decide whether to keep it or change it.

3) during transition this would be a time to determine how many staff are needed and to explore the possibility of contractual service and delegation of specific jobs to other groups. Jobs mentioned include the NFIP slideshow, PCRC brochure, Pacific Bulletin. The advantage would be that PCRC staff would be more free to address direct PCRC matters, especially working on the communications networking.

4) Sandy's replacement there is a need for a break-in period. Sandy has expressed willingness to assist. This person will not be starting from scratch as there is a two-year Funding Request prepared, format for SC meetings, etc.

5) Chairperson we are amenable to having a chairperson for PCRC SC. However, SC must develop a list of responsibilities for this function.
Chairperson could be equivalent to a Board Chair where the SC forms the Board of Directors. Person could be responsible for the Funding Request, together with SC members.

6) **PCRC restructuring** we want to encourage and reinforce trends toward regionalization. We suggest deferring action on Advisory Committee recommendations until the Hawaii group has worked out its role and responsibilities with a June 30, 1985, deadline for this task.

7) **Site** we reviewed Hilda Lini's letter of support for PCRC and her suggestion to move the office to Fiji where Amelia Rokotuivuna may be available to staff.

8) **SC member for Hawaii should be removed from Advisory Committee** so that his role is the same as other SC members. Having the Advisory Committee convene on staff request only makes for complications. That SC member would not know whether he has decision-making authority for PCRC.

Partly because all these issues are interrelated, the SC did not discuss them in order of presentation by the task group. The discussions and SC actions are summarized as follows:

1) **That SC recognizes a four-month (May 1 - August 31) transition period at PCRC.**

2) **The hiring process** used in the past is that the positions are advertised in the Pacific Bulletin so that opportunity can be given to all regions to apply. Applications are received, reviewed, and accepted by the SC. Staff explained that an additional process was identified during October 1984 but not implemented. That process would circulate a letter among 65-70 key NFIP people who would be in a good position to recruit potential staff or who may nominate themselves.
Discussion included these items: that no one has been identified in Hawaii because a search has not begun; that the process of seeking names is finished and that maybe recruitment should be limited to Hawaii and the US; that it is very important to identify and seek out the people we want; that it is desirable to have someone from outside Hawaii; that we should not follow the past procedures, but act now by contacting those whose names have surfaced to save time. SC action on the hiring process was deferred until later in the meeting.

3) Roman had earlier made known his possible availability to assist in a transition period at PCRC. Following discussion, SC decided

That Roman Bedor would assist in the transition period
at PCRC during May and June.

That John Herrmann would assist in the transition period
at PCRC during July and August, if necessary.

Particular duties for Roman and John as well as financial and logistical implications of these decisions were deferred until later in the meeting. The possibility of contractual work makes it impossible to determine now how many fulltime positions are needed at PCRC or what changes should be made in rate of salary for present staff. These issues were deferred until later in the agenda.

4) Re Sandy's replacement, there was a proposal introduced to name Kalama as acting—in that position—until the SC can make a decision. Kalama is the person closest to the present functioning of the office and, if he desired, he could apply for the position on a permanent basis. There was another proposal that Kalama be named to the position permanently. There was objection to the latter proposal because other names had been suggested. Time is needed to contact them and to develop a selection process. Kalama was asked for his response to the
proposal. Kalama responded that our commitment to the office is to see that the office continues to grow and to implement any decision SC makes, either moving the office or keeping it. Our skills could be used to benefit our own people more directly. We see that our energies have gone to the Pan Pacific movement. We see that we have a commitment and responsibility to carry on until the office is stabilized, and we can move on and do our work. The procedures, processes, and structure of PCRC are not the way Hawaii would do it if we had the power to decide. That doesn't mean we don't have the commitment to PCRC. Following Kalama's response, the originator withdrew the proposal.

5) Since the second task group had discussed the role of an SC Chairperson, Ted suggested that the SC hear the results of that discussion and also remarked that he is confident that Roman would make decisions on behalf of the SC that would be appropriate. Hilda reported the recommendations, all of which were accepted by the SC:

- That the SC would delegate authority to its Chairperson to act on behalf of the SC.
- That the Chairperson acts on behalf of the SC when direction is needed rather than for initiation. If the staff cannot go forward because they have not been mandated to do so by SC, the Chairperson would assist in the consultation process with other SC members. When a decision needs to be made all SC members are consulted and are given a deadline for response.
- That a quorum for PCRC SC decision making is four members.
  If a quorum of four members is not reached, the Chairperson has the discretion to make the decision or to defer the issue
until the next SC meeting.

That a quorum for a PCRC SC meeting is five members.

That the Chairperson would be a support mechanism for staff to facilitate communication with SC. Staff needs that accessibility for decision making. Staff has an SC member in Hawaii for consultation as well. The fact that PCRC is in Hawaii gives an added responsibility to that region.

That the PCRC SC Chairperson be Roman Bedor was accepted unanimously.

6) Discussion on PCRC restructuring was deferred until Hawaii's Advisory Committee defines its role and responsibilities by June 30, 1985.

7) There was no discussion on moving the office to Fiji although there was strong interest in contacting Amelia about her availability.

8) Re SC member from Hawaii on the Advisory Committee, the comment was made that the SC member from Hawaii expressed difficulty in wearing more than one hat. It's not a different hat but several feathers in one hat.

COMMUNICATION NETWORKING

Ted, Hilda, and Sandy formed this task group. Their recommendations, the discussion, and SC action follow.

1) That PCRC will issue an annual report on a calendar year basis from staff for distribution which would be a collective effort. It will be used for reporting to funding partners and for communication with SC. It will be based on the program outline in the 1985-1986 Funding Request.

That PCRC's annual report will be developed from staff quarterly reports to SC. These become part of each job description as tasks.
That weekly staff meetings be held to provide consistent communication on the work of the office and staff responsibilities so that the right hand will know what the left hand is doing.

It is suggested that weekly staff meetings be recorded for staff use in preparing the quarterly reports. One suggestion for staff members to keep abreast of their work is to maintain a daily log as it is very difficult to do reports from memory. Too much happens.

There was no discussion except for SC approval of the recommendations as underlined above.

2) That all SC members be asked to provide a quarterly report. Reason for this request is that there is a communication problem. We as SC should be willing to take on that responsibility. Quarterly reports could be updates on campaigns and would provide a consistent flow of information to PCRC and around the NFIP movement.

That when Action Alerts are initiated, there should be included information for the international audience on the background of the situation. Sending too much material or using abbreviations or regional/national slang words sometimes makes it difficult for staff to define what action is exactly needed and why. That the SC member from Hawaii should stay in touch with the office and with the Hawaii movement.

That the Advisory Committee can be an important link/support mechanism for dealing with not only crises but also day-to-day situations. It should meet on a regular basis, make suggestions, volunteer to work.

If SC does not have a satisfactory response on the definition of tasks and membership by June 30, 1985, it will defer any decision until the next SC meeting.
Discussion centered on SC reporting. Although it is an added burden, reporting is one way to try to deal with the problem of communication between PCRC and SC, among SC members, and between SC and their constituencies. It was not possible to develop a common format, but it was agreed that the principle is to increase communication on NFIP activity in each region and to insure accountability with regional constituency. After discussion, SC decided:

That each SC member will submit a report on NFIP activities within their regions every four months. Each region will develop its own format. SC members will send their regional reports to PCRC which would then distribute them to the other six regions. SC will evaluate this practice at the next SC meeting and may possibly decide to develop a common format at that time. The deadline for the first SC regional report is August 31. The deadline for the second is December 31.

There was no other discussion on other aspects of this recommendation.

3) That Pacific Bulletin contain a summary of SC meeting Minutes to provide more adequate coverage on SC meetings and to provide vehicle for accountability to NFIP constituencies.

That Pacific Bulletin report on PCRC developments over the last five years through publication of the new PCRC brochure.

That SC members receive an updated PCRC Directory, especially so that SC may know who receives PB in their regions.
That SC members receive extra copies of Pacific Bulletin for distribution in their areas.

SC members gave an initial indication of the additional numbers of PB they could use:

- Region I: 20
- Region II: 50
- Region III: 100 (+500 brochures)
- Region IV: 100
- Region V: 500 (250 USPIN:250 IITC)
- Region VI
- Region VII

SC approved all the recommendations as underlined above.

4) That there is a need to build up enthusiasm and give support to NFIP activists following the general sense of discouragement which resulted from NFIPC/1983. Ways to build up enthusiasm are:

- small regional meetings
- lots of exchange visits
- find someone to coordinate and fundraise for an NFIP speaking tour within the Pacific. SC members would be asked for contact names in their areas. There could be one group of people to do the whole tour or the Pacific could be divided into three or four parts and activists could be asked to do parts of the tour.

Brief discussion indicated positive response to this recommendation that an NFIP tour of the Pacific be developed. This task should not be added to PCRC staff, but rather someone should be identified who can coordinate the whole project. SC action was deferred until after the next report.

5) That an NFIP video be developed that can be used on television and for local organizing. John would develop the program. Ted will do
fundraising, identify the crew, and develop a budget so that a
Funding Request can be developed.

SC approved the recommendation as underlined above. It was
understood that this project will not be an added burden to
PCRC staff who will be developing the NFIP slideshow this year.

6) That the good relationships and communication among BPC, PPANAC, USPIN,
and PCRC be encouraged to increase, particularly through staff exchange.
That the breakdown of communication with VPCC needs to be explored
through a visit to VPCC by an SC member who can make further recom-
mendations on how to increase communications.

SC approved both recommendations as underlined above. Louis suggested
that Yann and Roman be asked to take on this important mission. They
all know each other and can speak frankly.

That the VPCC staff person be an ex officio member of PCRC SC meetings. The
person will have full participation in SC meetings but no vote.

SC approved the recommendation as underlined above.

7) Computerizing PCRC operations is basically a good idea, but that SC needs more
information to authorize it. Having a computer could streamline office oper-
ations. In the long run, it could create saving of staff time. Yet we lack
the data to make that recommendation. We need a clear, more detailed pro-
posal for SC determination on the issue. It would be good if we could afford
the initial cash outlay. SC needs more information on the system and what
type of training would be needed for staff, what training is provided and
whether further training is needed.

Discussion raised several points. To the objection that nine months
is a long time to wait for SC approval, it was pointed out that we now
have a process for decisionmaking in place so that decisions can be
made between SC meetings. Another comment was that at the last SC
meeting, SC authorized looking for donation of a computer. Another
said that money for a computer should have less priority than direct
person-to-person contact. Look for a donation so that the movement's
success can be laid on person-to-person contact. The merits of having
a computer were raised: word processing, bookkeeping, mailing list,
ability to access information from news sources, typesetting.
Another question was whether the donor or anyone else will have access
to the info in the PCRC computer. Discussion concluded with a request
for more detailed information because it is a good idea.

8) Question is raised whether there is really a need for two PCRC publications.

Is Pandanus Periodical really worth the time, effort, and expense? Can PP
material be included in the 16 page Pacific Bulletin? It was suggested that
staff decide whether to continue PP or not by reviewing the mailing list to
determine overlap with PB and perhaps by asking PP readers through a coupon
in the next issue. It was also suggested that Roman ask Carol if she could
do PP from Belau.

Consensus was that staff be delegated to carry on this discussion
and make the determination whether and how Pandanus Periodical will
be published.

9) That PCRC SC meet every six months. We recognize more frequent meetings would
be more expensive. Therefore, we ask that SC members raise 50% of their air-
fare for each meeting. Nine months is a long time. We ask for trouble by
waiting so long for a meeting.

Discussion was deferred until the next task group report since the
same issue was discussed there.
NEXT SC MEETING/NFIPC 1986

Kotra, Emmett, and John formed this task group. They reported:

A) That the next (tenth) meeting of the PCRC SC be held in the Cook Islands. The advantages follow: a) of the nine SC meetings, the South Pacific has hosted only those SC meetings that were held in conjunction with NFIPC/1983 in Vanuatu; b) it is close to Tahiti and the struggle of the people there and to Fiji; c) an offer has been made for accommodations and venue; d) it can raise consciousness of Cook Islands people to the regional and international nature of the NFIP movement; e) good communications facilities exist—Rarotonga is not too isolated. Possible disadvantages are these: a) Rarotonga does not have an SC member resident; and b) costs are an important factor, but task group believes that it would be a good way to advance the conscientization process and secure more commitment for NFIP movement.

That Aotearoa be listed as the second choice for the SC meeting site. It was considered at the last meeting and no SC meeting has been held there.

That Hawaii was also raised as a possibility.

Discussion followed. It was mentioned that not having an SC member resident is not a problem since a precedent has been set by the previous SC which had considered holding the SC meeting in Guam. Hilda said that Aotearoa offers itself any time for any meeting, but that they bring NFIP activists annually to their February Hui, so that Cook Islands should be the first choice. Emmett said that it was more expensive to have the meeting elsewhere than Hawaii and that the SC must work directly with staff to be able to answer whatever questions arise. Roman spoke on the principle of moving the SC meeting from place to place and commented that the Hawaii SC member can inform those who do not participate. He offered the model of a
Board of Directors meeting in which not all staff participate. SC decided:

That the next (tenth) PCRC SC meeting be held in the Cook Islands with Aotearoa as an alternative if necessary.

B) That the SC maintain the present schedule of meeting every nine to twelve months. This recommendation depends on using the mechanism of a chairperson to assist when interim decisions are needed. The best time for the Cook Islands would be February or March 1986.

It was suggested that the next SC meeting coincide with NFIPC/1986, but discussion demonstrated that too many decisions about convening NFIPC/1986 need to be made that cannot be made during this meeting including theme and site. Also it was pointed out that NFIPC/1983 had a long discussion and in the end mandated the nine to twelve-month schedule. Can SC decide another schedule? SC decided:

That the SC will maintain the present schedule of meeting every nine to twelve months.

The next SC meeting will be held February 10-20, 1986. The first days will be a regular SC meeting, with three days reserved for NFIPC/1986 planning.

C) Re staff participation in SC meetings, the group presented three options for discussion: 1) all staff participate; 2) one only, with allowance made for exceptional cases; 3) one only.

Discussion opened with a fourth option: staff as needed. After some discussion, it was proposed: That only one PCRC staff member participate in SC meetings. If needs warrant, then another participates. SC will decide which staff position but defers that decision until restructuring later in the agenda. A vote showed a 6-1 majority. There was a request for more discussion. Staff as
needed is a flexible proposal. It was suggested that if funding is available, a second staff member should come from one of the affiliate offices so that we can decentralize more. There must be someone from PCRC staff in all future meetings. SC employs staff; SC meeting is the prime opportunity to work with all of them for better coordination. By a 6-1 vote, SC decided:

That one PCRC staff representative will participate in SC meetings unless more than one is necessary and depending on finances.

There was some discussion on the need for the next SC meeting to have staff present who can implement NFIPC/1986 organizing. SC held off a decision whether to augment PCRC staff for that purpose or to hire an organizer resident at the conference site. However, SC decided:

That an organizer for NFIPC/1986 will be hired and that the budget should be revised to include that position.

NFIPC/1986

A) That the NFIPC be held in 1986 because of the needs. 1) The task group was wary of the costs involved, but felt the needs of the NFIP movement require a conference. 2) there is an expectation that a conference will be held every three years. 3) there are practical reasons: a new SC should be elected and new policy decisions are needed. 4) it will be an opportunity to update collectively. 5) there is a strength and solidarity that comes from being together. We can use the media to publicize our strength.

There was no discussion in opposition to the proposal.

B) Past conferences have been held in Fiji, Ponape, Hawaii, and Vanuatu. We suggest four possibilities: Aotearoa/Australia; Philippines; Tahiti; Kanaky. Discussion showed that there has not been prior consultation with the movements in these or any other potential sites. It was re-
commended that we try to stay out of Rim countries for these conferences so that they are always held in the Pacific Basin. No country should host a conference a second time so that the benefits of hosting the conference can be shared. Belau and Papua New Guinea were recommended also. SC decided:

That the movements in Kanaky/Tahiti/Philippines/Belau and Papua New Guinea be consulted about the possibility of hosting NFIPC/1986.

That the deadline for the results of the consultation to be submitted to PCRC SC is August 31, 1985.

That the consultation results include not only whether the movement is willing but also whether it has the capacity at this time to host the conference. Budget estimates are part of the consultation also. Consultation should include the movement's capacity for at least these items: lodging for participants; food; local transportation to and from conference site; equipment: lights, audio-visual, sound system(s), typewriters, mimeo/roneo and/or xerox machines; media accessibility; many volunteers; welcoming ceremony; possibly closing ceremony; airline access and information; arrange meetings with local groups: trade unions, students, women, churches; security, interpreters and interpreting booths/equipment; estimation of budget— for conference fundraising.

It was reported that for NFIPC/1983, PCRC did fundraising; flight bookings and ticket purchase; assisted some SC members in choice of participants; media; publicity before conference; poster distribution (Australia developed concept and printed); brochure; confirmed speakers; agenda development; etc. SC minutes of November 1982 describes the organizing plan and distribution of responsibilities among VPCC, PCRC, and SC members.
C) That the NPIPC/1986 be held sometime between August and November 1986.

The recommendation was approved.

D) The task group presented a recommendation to be made by SC to NPIPC/1986.

The recommendation calls for an NPIPC to be held every four years because of the costs and relatively impersonal nature of big conferences. It is important to take a low level approach in order to personalize the movement. There should be an indigenous peoples conference every two years and NFIP Speaking tours in the Pacific should happen more often.

The recommendation met with approval. Roman commented that the November/December 1985 Sovereignty Forum to be sponsored by BPC will be an opportunity when SC members can see each other again since they and affiliate office space members will be invited to the Forum. This would not be an official SC meeting. Hilda noted the following upcoming meetings when NFIP issues can be raised:

June 1985, WILPF, Sydney; November 1985, BPC, Belau; February 1986, SC, Rarotonga; March 1986, Australia; June 1986, PTUF. Following discussion, SC decided:

That this SC will propose a four-year cycle for the NFIP movement to the NPIPC/1986. The schedule would be 1986 NPIPC; 1987 PCRC SC meeting; 1988 enlarged SC meeting (one more from another country in each region would participate); 1990 NPIPC. This resolution will be put to NPIPC/1986 for decision.

CAMPAIGNS/BROCHURE/OFFICE/STAFFING

Aida, Hilda, and Kalama formed this task group.

CAMPAIGNS

The task group reported that they reset priorities, removed the "no-star" category and set some campaigns aside as the responsibility of affiliate offices.
They recommended that the SC affirms the following as three-star campaigns and lists appropriate activities for each:

Kanak independence - adopt a resolution of support for circulation

(See Appendix V)
- request USPIN through Ingrid to coordinate solidarity campaign and to send out information since she has access to info and key contacts.
(see Appendix VI)
- encourage a solidarity fund for FLNKS
- circulate information
- inform FLNKS of potential funding partners

waste dumping
- lobby SP government leaders re SPREP meeting to adopt Treaty
- raise LDC continuing a moratorium on ocean dumping and maintain contact with key activists.
- consult with BPC re sending ten Micronesians to London in September
- circulate and publicize petition campaign
- adopt a resolution of support and circulate
(see Appendix VII)

Pacific Campaign Against SLCM's - continue support of Nelson as necessary
- endorse funding proposal

East Timor - Stay in touch with East Timor support groups in Aotearoa/Australia and Jose Ramos Horta to get campaign ideas from them

West Papua - new info kit

That the SC affirms the following as two-star campaigns and lists appropriate actions for each:
Philippine bases and Bataan nuclear power plant - Aida will send materials for circulation.

- adopt a resolution of support and circulate (see Appendix VIII)

French testing/Tahiti nui independence - update and promote French testing chronology by Elaine Shaw rather than develop a new resource with Bengt and Marie Therese Danielsson

- assist the voyage of the Rainbow Warrior

- gather support for Charlie Ching. Send $$ to Greenpeace Australia or to Mrs. Ching for Legal Defense Fund

ANZUS Treaty - this is coming to the foreground as a result of Labour Party and Lange's government's anti-nuclear stance.

Regional promotion of NFIP - how can people prepare for and promote NFIP issues in the regions?

That the SC affirms the following as one-star campaigns. PCRC's primary responsibility is to circulate information that is received on these campaigns.

Self-determination for Maori, Chamorro, Hawaiian, Aboriginal, Okinawan, and Indian movements

uranium mining

nuclear-free zones - encourage grassroots support for strong SPNFZ Treaty

international solidarity calendar (see Appendix IX)

RIMPAC

That primary responsibility for the following campaigns be transferred to Belau Pacific Center: Belau self-determination, Compact of Free Association, Kwajalein.

That primary responsibility for hibakusha be transferred to the Japanese network.

There was general agreement on all the recommendations except for a few items. It was recommended that ANZUS be a three-star campaign. It is
very important to increase support for Lange's government on this issue of its nuclear-free stance. The campaign has a very clear goal. There is lots of pressure on Aotearoa by US to abrogate its stance. Look at Fiji; we might lose something that has already been gained. There is a big risk that Lange government might be forced to change its position. Their example is important for others in the region who may support it or help initiate other shifts. Finally, SC decided:

That ANZUS should be a three-star campaign.

There was a suggestion to make French testing a three-star campaign. It was not supported because stopping French testing is intrinsically linked to independence for Tahiti. We should be realistic about priorities. What is the goal of that campaign? What do we want to accomplish? Can the campaign be successful now?

There was a suggestion to raise nuclear-free zones to the same rank as ANZUS because NFZ impacts more people directly. It was opposed on the grounds that we would not be defining priorities that way.

There was a suggestion that PCRC develop a campaign of support for Charlie Ching. It was pointed out that it is very important to know the situation in Tahiti very well before launching a campaign. Any campaign we launch should not be counterproductive to the independence struggle. We need to look to see that people in Tahiti are conscious of and hoping for unity with the different independence movements in Tahiti. What happened to Charlie is a sign of the lack of unity within the independence movement. Any campaign should not focus on Charlie Ching alone. It was suggested that as a procedure for PCRC:

That staff should consult with the SC member in the region before initiating an Action Alert in order to assess the impact.

It was agreed:
That SC members should consult in their regions in order to develop a **comprehensive international solidarity day calendar**. See Appendix IX for those dates submitted during the SC meeting.

It was also agreed that time would be given to hear how we might support Kanaky more specifically later in the agenda.

After all this discussion and including the one change of ANZUS, SC decided:

**That the recommendations on Campaigns be accepted.**

**BROCHURE**

The SC approves the content of the new PCRC brochure.

**OFFICE**

The task group reported that PCRC office still needs to move from its present location and suggested that churches and trade unions be approached in order to cut down on costs.

Discussion was deferred until Sunday.

**STAFFING**

The task group reported that a question remains whether we can use contractual labor rather than fulltime employment. Maybe we don't need a fulltime fundraiser, only a contractual one. It may be that we still need three staff. Roman can research this issue during the transition period. We may be able to use a treasurer. Office workers would still do the bookkeeping, but there could be a volunteer who acts as treasurer whose functions would be caring for banking and funding, being a signer of checks, issuing funding reports to funding partners.

Discussion was deferred until Sunday.

**LIAISON WITH LIBERATION MOVEMENTS**

Members of this task group were Ted, Louis, Emmett, Roman, John, and Sandy. A discussion was held and was reported to the plenary. It was agreed that the discussion would not be part of the Minutes.
POLICIES

Members of this task group were Ted, Louis, Emmett, Roman, John, and Sandy. The group identified both policy matters and items that needed SC action, but which are not by nature policy issues. Recommendations for PCRC policy follow. In some cases, procedures were also recommended.

1) That official Minutes will be kept of all SC meeting. Drafts of Minutes will be circulated to all SC members for their correction and approval within one month of each SC meeting. If no comments are received within the requested time, it is assumed that the Minutes are approved by that SC member. Disagreements will be identified and brought to consultation with the Chairperson. If the disagreements cannot be resolved, that issue will be deferred until the next SC meeting.

The completed and approved Minutes will be circulated within each region by the SC member of that region.

A summary of the Minutes will be prepared and distributed in the Pacific Bulletin and to funding partners.

2) That SC members are responsible to give collective regional input into PCRC's Funding Request.

3) That a Personnel and Financial Policies and Procedures Handbook will be developed from Minutes of previous SC meeting and will be periodically updated.

4) That employment contracts will be developed and must be agreed upon and signed by PCRC and staff member before employment begins. For new staff, there will be one year of probation, followed by two years as permanent staff. SC will authorize PCRC signers. Roman will be responsible for the employment contract.

5) That PCRC may enter into joint fundraising with Belau Pacific Center. The specific conditions will be determined between PCRC and BPC.

6) That PCRC is not a funding body or lending institution. This policy must be
implemented and enforced.

These six policies were unanimously adopted.

7) Further discussion and action on whether PCRC should simultaneously employ partners or spouses was deferred until the next SC meeting.

The task group made two other recommendations for SC action:

1) That the legal status of PCRC and PCRC Educational Fund needs to be clarified.

2) That the new budget line item—Consultants Fees, $5,000—receive attention for actual designation on Sunday. It is suggested that up to $2,000 may be used for Marshall Islands Correspondent and the $3,000 could be used for similar needs elsewhere.

These two recommendations were unanimously adopted.

WHAT SUPPORT CAN WE EXTEND TO KANAKY?

Kotra responded that you can all develop information and solidarity within your regions first of all. It is very important that people are well informed on what is happening in our country. If each of you could work along that line, it would help us very much. We need to develop information that carries the viewpoint of the FLNKS. On April 20, there is an international day of solidarity for Kanaky. Programs have been set up—educational forums, demonstrations. After July, there is a high possibility of attention being focused on our country. There will be lots of activity. At that point, support will be very, very important. We ourselves can do many things, but we need money. You could help us, but we need money to fulfil our plans. When I went to Australia, it was to establish support groups there. That's what we have done in other places. Since you are already involved, I will not ask you to do that. I would ask you to give some attention in your groups to our struggle.

Educational materials are now available through the Ministry of Foreign Relations. We can send you all that you need, but it is very expensive. We have
three people working there: Yann's daughter and two who went to PNG on scholar-
ships that were facilitated by PCRC.

Kotra will send the address and bank account number for FLNKS so that contri-
butions can be sent directly.

Kotra will have someone speak onto a tape—two thirty minute programs—ASAP to
John and Ted so they can be aired on April 20.

PCRC will send list of funding partners ASAP. Kotra commented that they have
programs for cooperations, radio, media equipment that need funding. The
strategy is to break the economy—tourism, commerce, mining industry, total
economic system. Since that is a strategy that has consequences for us, we need
to establish our own alternative economic system so that it does not have a big
impact on us. We need to teach people how to take charge themselves concerning
their own political future.

Hilda pointed out that Ingrid can play a very important role for PCRC in keeping
the whole NFIP movement up to date. She can draw up a proposal of what needs to
be done during the next year and a budget after she meets with Yann. Last year
when the real protest started, we were very ineffective. We sent four people
over, but wish we could have been more up to date.

Ted reminded the meeting of IITC's invitation to Kotra for their June annual
meeting so that they can discuss in detail their UN work and how they can assist.

Kotra commented that he was invited by KMU for May 1 in Philippines but could
not go because of lack of funding. SC agreed:

That PCRC budget include roundtrip airfare Kanaky/San Francisco/Kanaky for
Kotra to represent PCRC and Kanaky at IITC meeting.

VOTE OF THANKS TO SANDY

Since Sandy is leaving PCRC, SC adopted a resolution of thanks for Sandy's
contribution to PCRC and to the NFIP movement. See Appendix X.

EVALUATIONS OF THE MEETING

Each participant in the meeting was asked to share their assessments and reflections
REGION V: TED:
I want to start by saying wopila, the Lakota word that says thank you very much. It actually means more than can be expressed in English. Meeting here overall has been positive and productive. We have strengthened the movement by strengthening the network within the SC by policies we made here. I hope that the feelings of love and commitment can continue when we leave. All discussions have been important. Now it is important that we follow up on NFIP work. I feel a little concerned because the agenda was so full that we were not able to really fully do the amount of work. We may have needed more time to accomplish the agenda. Next agenda needs to be realistic. We need to take the time to fully accomplish the agenda. So that people do not have reservations or are not clear about certain positions. For me, this has been a beautiful experience again in coming to this region, as beautiful for me as 1980 was because of what I have learned and can take home to the people so that our struggles can progress. Sincere wopila—more than a thank you in our language. I have been so comfortable with you that I have spoken things that I have not said to my own people. It is because of the trust, respect, love that we all share. I am hopeful that our personal relationships can continue and that it won't be five years until I see you again. Thank you.

REGION VI: EMMETT:
I remember that at the last SC meeting I was very tense because PKO was peaking with RIMPAC and I was leaving for Canada. Being here in Belau, I have really missed Hawaii. I didn't think that would happen. This place reminds me of Molokai. My hope of last SC meeting was to go through the thinking pattern of Pacific Islanders. Throughout this whole SC meeting, I have had real difficulties. I have reevaluated my role in the movement as SC member. I'm becoming more critical about what I was able to do. Two things stand out: I have not
been able to deliver an NFIP Hawaii movement. I've had the feeling of being criticized about that. I have not been able to stay on top of staff. I saw things building before coming here. I am filled with heart decisions—very difficult for me. I look at my medical practice and my responsibilities in the Hawaii movement. I wonder whether I will make it to the Cook Islands. There is need to have someone on Oahu, more patient; Someone who leads other parts of the Hawaiian movement and is in touch with the white movement; Someone who stays on top of day-to-day office operations. I thought after this I would step aside. It was hard to show up here. I look at where we were nine months ago and where we are today. I look for growth and changed perception, how my personal agenda could impact PCRC decisions. I don't know how important that was for Hawaii moving NFZ campaign from no star to one star priority. It's been lonely. I've been able to give because I have taken the criticism. I was very happy that agenda was finished. It was very hard to move Belauan time. We needed more time. Policies and procedures book is a great idea. SC will be more responsible or will have to depend on Advisory Committee or staff collective. Some problems will be the crisis. We have depended so much on Sandy. It will be difficult to become as disciplined as she has been. Ten months will move by fast. Whether we have the funds to deliver or issues to bring as successes is not clear. I'll try to work closely with Nani, Kalama, Davianna, Kuumeaaloa, Nelson, Roman. Maybe things will make more sense. I have enjoyed the similarities in culture, organizational tactics, commitments. I want to thank Sandy and Kalama for hanging in here. And, Kotra, for you because I don't know when I'll see you again. Your situation is so tense. And Ted, you have made a major contribution. And Hilda the woman who sparks me. It was good to see Ingrid and John. Ingrid, thanks for all your work.

REGION VII: AIDA:

Looking back, I have the impression that SC has risen to the challenge of
assuming more responsibility for PCRC. I base this on number of things we talked about doing last 2-1/2 days when we broke into workshops and here for campaigns and policies. It is a sign of growth in the movement. And I have more strength and enthusiasm to report to Japan about what happened during the meeting. I have the desire to work more closely with Japan. I think these feelings came because we held the meeting in Belau. The Roman Bedor factor is very important. The Belau Pacific Center factor brought this about in terms of relationships and events of this SC meeting. I was struck very much with this tree ceremony this morning. How beautiful to recognize the importance of each country to the movement. Without this ceremony, I could not have seen this.

We could cover more if we had given more time to preparing agenda. As it turned out, it is for the best. Now that we are closing the meeting, "It's Easter, Lord." It's something that I was permitted to come here and to miss all that happens in Philippines. I understand why God has allowed me to celebrate Holy Week in this manner. It's like Magdalen seeing Jesus on Easter morning and not recognizing Him. It is a different kind of Easter the Lord has permitted me to experience. I am grateful for people of Belau for trust and warm hospitality.

Salamat.

REGION II: JOHN

Sincere thank you to each and every one here for your friendship and companionship. This has been my first time at an SC meeting. Thank you for your acceptance of my suggestion to hold next SC meeting in Rarotonga. You are not just names. It's like seeing you as real brothers and sisters. I'm so much surer in my relationship with all of you, and that is the real gain that comes. I'm quite optimistic—we are on firm grounds right from the start. I understand from Ted that it might be Bill Wapepah next time. Ted assured me there will be no problem for Bill in getting to be linked closely. I must say that I'm disappointed that Ted cannot come next time, but that decision rests within
his own region. I look forward to the next meeting. I would like to say if I have been insistent about staying on schedule, about timing, it's because I'm a teacher and still in education. I have had differences in coming to terms with how long we deal with problems. The problem stems from my own perception of the importance of time. I'm moving into the rat race of time. It's really my own confusion. Thank you to all. This was the first time I've had some talks with Sr. Aida. Thank you for those discussions. I have learned a lot. There is another reason I have projected these views about time. Before I came, I saw the meeting as an opportunity to learn more about these struggles. I know a little, but I don't really feel for them. I don't know enough and I'm a believer in the notion that a little knowledge is not too good. I saw it as an opportunity to learn—not just us talking among ourselves, but also to actually meet with people within the local movement—giving them a chance to talk with us. I look forward to meeting them tonight. I say that because the colonizer/colonized relationship makes people think that foreign expertise always knows more: "All they're good for is dancing" mentality. Probably I had unrealistic expectations. I'm quite happy with what we've gained. I feel optimistic because much was done in the past and because of my other recent experiences in the Cook Islands—the Pacific women's regional meeting and Elaine Shaw's visit. Concerning the agenda, it was a good agenda. I did not mean to be nasty to you, Roman, as chairperson, but we tended to concentrate on some areas. I was quite concerned that we would not finish. We must be honest in all we do. The press release we did for Rengel Belau made me nervous. I'm glad we have at least gone over all areas that we mentioned in the press release. Quite honestly, I feel stronger now than when I came here. I thank Roman. It's due to you and to everyone else that we accomplished so much.
Kia ora tatou katoa. Firstly, I want to thank Roman and your people who have looked after us. I think the SC meeting has been successful because of the support of your people so that we could do the work. I would like to give a special greeting to this house. It may be one of the fruits we envisaged in 1980—not buildings—but continuation of the work. I want to thank everyone here. I felt really comfortable within this house and with Belauan time. We got lots of business to do. There's a Pacific way to do it. 1980 conference was a real turning point in my life. Like Roman, I didn't really like white people. That was the first time I thought I might be able to work with white people. It's kept us going since 1980. We touched spirits again in this SC meeting. That helps me carry on the work back home. PCRC for me came out of not some bullshit plan or funding proposal, but from the call of the people saying we need something to keep us together to move our struggles around the Pacific. Our contribution in Aotearoa has been to do work through PPANAC. I have felt really sad for PCRC at times. I got hard on you, Emmett. I recognize that in a lot of important ways PCRC has been affected because of Hawaii Network. I can get into you and you don't get shitty about it. I treat you as a brother. I really like the island we went to. We really did a lot there. I wish you well, Sandy, in your upcoming job. I recognize you have had a very stabilizing influence on PCRC. The problem is the dynamics between indigenous and white people working together. It's a process of moving through something. Maybe Hawaii has taken the initial brunt of working these things through. I hope you can see it that way. We have to work through these things. Lots of times when I think of bumming out at home, what keeps me coming back is the spirit of 1980. I always worry about Yann and Louis and the Kanak people. I was really sad about Eloi. To your people, they are paying a big price now.
It's also a price for the Pacific. I'm not being very objective now. I want to thank you and the people in my region who support me and helped to get me here. "Join the movement: lousy pay, good travel opportunities!" I hope there can be more exchanges among peoples so that information spreads out to everyone. Thank you for looking after Grace when she was here, Roman. Thank your people for that. This has been a very fruitful meeting for NFIP movement.

REGION I: KOTRA

I would like to say that I prefer to write down my thoughts so that I will not forget anything. First, I want to thank this country which has hosted us. Thank you for the welcome, for the friendliness, for the hospitality you have shown us. I would like to ask Roman to give our special thanks to all the women who have worked for us. I think it is the sentiment of all SC. Day and night they prepared food. When we woke up, coffee was ready. They have prepared outstanding food for us. We need to emphasize that. Thank the people who have allowed us to speak on their lands. That was made possible for us by Roman. And for all of this, Roman, I think you can feel just how grateful we are. About the actual meeting, for me it was a very good meeting. We have had debates and discussions and always we have reached consensus. That is very important since consensus is the procedure FLNKS also uses. There was also a warmth in this meeting. We could feel solidarity among the SC members. As representative of Kanak people, which is in struggle now, I would like to express my deepest gratitude for all the consideration you have given to our struggle. All struggles are important. For me, there are no priorities. Earlier I suggested a priority because that is the structure. But I want to emphasize all are priorities. Last SC meeting I learned a lot. This time I learned more through contact with you, your people, your traditions, your struggles. I would like to ask each of you to send me information about your
struggles so I can make them more known to my people. It's a privilege to be in contact with people like you. For me, it's been a realization of NFIP—it is the reality of the NFIP movement. I can feel that your commitment to Kanaky is something very strong. I would like to tell you that this victory of Kanaky will also be your victory and Kanaky will always remember. In the name of my people, I consider you as Kanaks. I think you know that after I go home, I will take more time to work for the movement. Maybe in the past I have not worked so much in the movement. I will ask our Ministry of Foreign Relations to be given for NFIP movement in my country. Thank you, Ingrid, for your work. I think if I could get the feeling of the meeting, it is because of you and your interpretation. It has been difficult at times. I would like to thank you very much for your work. I want to say that I am very sad that Sandy will leave us. In the name of my people, I would like to thank you for all the work you have done. You are white, but you are my sister. Don't forget the movement. Don't forget us. And wherever you are, continue to work with us.

**KALAMA:**

I want to start by recognizing my aloha for my amakua and kupunas who have protected me. To our hosts, I want to say thank you. I want to send my aloha to the children born and unborn who will carry the traditions forward. If I had seemed to be introspective, I think it's been a time for all of us to look at the movement, to examine our own commitment to Pacific work, and to our own people. If it were not for physical signs I have seen—I have seen lightning and thunder which are important to me—it would have been more difficult. To be perfectly honest, I was reluctant to come to SC meeting from the very beginning. I would have to credit other people who have the strength to push me to come here. It's been a positive meeting for all of us. I feel the work will be carried on positively. I would like to thank Sandy for the work she has done on behalf of our people. The past few months have been extremely
difficult for all at PCRC. If I have hurt you in any way or discredited you, Sandy, I would like to apologize for that. Mahalo and aloha to Emmett for the work he has done. I grew up at a time when the movement was first starting. George Helms, Walter Ritte, and Emmett Aluli were our heroes, our warriors who emerged to carry on the battles for our homes and our traditions. I've always had a difficult time to know that our heroes are human like us. I'd like to thank SC for leaving homes, families, people—to be able to meet to guide the work of the next period. I would like to thank Roman for all the work he has done to facilitate this meeting. The work here has been an inspiration for me. It saddens me to see how much our people have lost land, culture, ability to determine our lives. Seeing Palau illustrates how far our people have to go.

INGRID:
It's always a bit difficult to switch from interpreting to speaking. At SC meeting in Hawaii I had a good experience and good memories. I was quite sad when I thought I would not see you again. I am very pleased that we now have Bill through Ted as SC member for our region. We feel his contribution will add so much to SC meetings. I'm also very glad that Yann and Kotra asked me to come here to interpret. I was in Belau in 1983 and it was a good experience. It was good to see how the women would go out on boats to meet people. It was a very great inspiration to see them and know them and experience their hospitality. It reminds me of how much people in the West have lost. I want to thank Roman and Kital-Reng who have opened their homes to us. I also want to thank you for your patience. You have been a lot more conscious about the needs of interpretation than last meeting. I feared the interpreting work would be too much but thanks for your understanding. Over the past months, being able to work for Kanaky and to be able to interpret for Yann and Kotra has been a very good experience for me. I'm very grateful to be allowed to continue that work and to support the Kanaky struggle.
SANDY:
I think there are really no words for what I want to say now. I feel very emotional about it—what I want to say concerns my evaluation of this meeting and about my experience with PCRC for the last five years. They will be mixed in with each other. There was never a doubt in my mind that when the SC got here together, the spirit of 1980 would emerge to ground us and to move us to be even more responsible to the calls of the peoples of the Pacific. It has been a moving meeting. It has helped me to realize how much I appreciate and am beginning to live Belau time. I so appreciate the wisdom, the great depth of spirituality that is so close to the surface in Pacific peoples—to trust, to be dependent on each other and on the universe. It is our strength, not our weakness to be dependent because that is the way life really is. Living Belauan time is like the waves of the ocean coming in and going out, out of our control, cleansing us, washing us, healing us, bringing us closer together each day. Without that spirit of unity and solidarity, nothing can be done. This has been the ninth SC meeting. Wise people say that the numbers 1, 3, and 9 are very meaningful. I don't understand why, but I believe there is truth there. This 9th SC meeting has been important for me personally. Nine signifies completion, fullness and so it marks completion of the end of my time at PCRC. There are no words to express the hope and inspiration the NFIP movement has been to me. The NFIP is not an abstract struggle—they are real people. When I saw us planting the coconuts today, I was so impressed with the way Louis served each of us by digging all the holes. It made me see that the Kanaks are serving the whole NFIP movement by leading us, by the price they are paying. Real leaders do so by serving us. I thank you, Kotra, and all your people for the leadership of service you are providing all of us through your struggle for peace and freedom and justice in the Pacific and in the whole globe. I applaud all the SC decisions as a maturing process—for PCRC and for
the SC as a body. I believe they will serve to ground PCRC firmly for its con-
tinued growth and development. I thank each of you for your patience, under-
standing, for what you've taught me. I say that to everybody. When Lent came
in February, I was wondering what I should do. And then I realized that I
needed to relate with Kalama, Puaulani, Nelson, Emmett, Davianna, and Kuumealoha
as my way of living Lent. It was difficult for all of us. I believe that
reconciliation can help us. I pledge to do my part in bringing that about.
I thank you, Ted, for your contribution. I was glad to learn that you were
able to replace Bill because I remembered your strength from 1980. The pain,
struggle, and power of your people are evident in the discipline and skills and
insight you brought to this meeting. Aida, I'm glad we have been able to be
reconciled. I'm most grateful for your hospitality in the Philippines. I have
enjoyed being with you. John, I must say I miss Lopeti. AND I'm glad you were
able to come. I'm always amazed how people from Tonga, Cook Islands can
continue with this struggle in spite of their isolation. Thank you for your
contribution, Hilda. There's so much in you that shows what the Maori movement
is all about—its assertiveness and frankness. You have the gift of being
candid, forthright, and caring at the same time. Roman, for five years I've
admired you. My admiration has grown even deeper during this meeting for your
vision. Please express my gratitude to all of these great people at Ktal-Reng.
You are amazing grace. There's so much power in your example. There's also
your humour. Kotra, convey my aloha to Yann. You always spoke on principle
and helped this meeting so much. What you said was always refreshing. That
depth comes from your own gifts, but also from the struggles you are enduring.
It makes you see clearly what is important. Emmett, I respect what you're of
doing and wish you well. Kalama, we could have a new opportunity to work to-
gether during the next few weeks. I have hope for our reconciliation. When I
leave, I'm not leaving the Pacific, even though I'm being asked to take on Asia.
as well. You have a permanent place in my heart. Please let me know what I can do. I was a little nervous in Manila. I was wearing a beautiful Hawaiian haku head lei. The men at Customs—on Palm Sunday—said "you're just like Jesus." And we know what happened to Jesus after his happy entrance to Jerusalem on Palm Sunday. Yet I believe in Easter. It is Easter now. And for me, that means that we can trust life. At its depth, life is good and gracious. We know that through what we've experienced. Thank you, John, for recording my words. I want to thank you all for the privilege it has been to serve the NFIP movement.

REGION IV: ROMAN:

I think everyone has summed up my feelings and thoughts about the movement. I think you would be tired to hear more of this from me now. Instead I want to share a story of how I got involved in this SC meeting with all of you coming from all parts of the Pacific, Asia, America. I feel I owe a lot to the land, spirits, and the people of Hawaii—I could have been on the other side of the fence. It all began to change when I began studying in Guam. I see I was travelling many different roads that were leading me nowhere. But someone showed me a road, sharing that one of these days the road will lead me to the promised land. So I studied textbooks and listened to white professors. I graduated from high school in Guam and went to Hawaii. It was in Kalama Valley that I saw that I was on the wrong road. That's where I first met Soli and Kalani. I began to debate whether I should continue the road or take a new road. I began to see a lot of things coming into my life, drastically changing my life. As a minority, I didn't speak English well. They thought I was Puerto Rican, but the Puerto Ricans couldn't understand me. The only group of students who could understand and accept me were the Native Americans. Another change was really coming into my life. In Guam and in Hawaii, I met many people who showed me a vision that this is the road you should be travelling.
I finished education in US and started working for the people. But I did not have enough information to have a full vision of my future work. Then I found myself in Hawaii at NFPC in 1980. I was told there would be whites, Japanese, Europeans. I thought I would go back on my road. I needed a vacation at that time. I had just become a lawyer and started at Micronesian Legal Services.

In Hawaii, I began to change my life again when I saw that unity can exist in the form of a rainbow. Instead of putting colors together, each color comes together as one but stays its own color. I left Hawaii and I was a different person after those seven days. I came home, resigned my job, and went to Japan in August because I wanted to learn more from other colors of the rainbow about how we could work together. People close to me thought I was getting crazy.

My family and my wife did not understand it. I was in Australia when my wife had a second miscarriage. After 1980 conference, my wife was pregnant. I said the trip was more important than my wife. When I arrived at the airport, they told me my child was a boy and he was already buried. And I spent some time on that. I said that I should not regret. The spirits took away the child. I do not regret that. I saw that my life would have sacrifices that I had to go through. In the last five years, I think we have achieved a lot by meeting together as a group. I thank this place. I thank the people of Belau. But I see that it's the people in Hawaii in 1980 who created this place—the Belau Pacific Center. We were tools, instruments. The vision came from them—Ted, Aida, John, Emmett, Hilda, Sandy—and all the people of the 1980 conference. This place was created in 1980. It is a fruit of the tree we planted. Without you people, without that conference, I would probably be working for the government today. For that, I really thank you. It was you who put me on the right road of life. To Emmett and Kalama, I came out strongly. I was hard on Emmett. I want to assure you there was nothing personal. I do that. We have to talk on the issues. We have to fight. You have to burn the coal in the fire.
to have the light. To put PCRC on the right path, we have to talk openly. I am glad you were able to come. As for you, Kalama, nothing is personal. I respect the contribution you made. I thank you. I came out strongly. We need to remind each other. I must be told when I am wrong. I hope you will not take it personally. I'm glad to see you here. There are two reasons why I wanted the SC meeting to be here. I wanted all in the SC meeting to come here to see how we have established the center. All is your results. My second reason is to get the SC meeting into the islands. I thought this would be the last time I would sit as an SC member. I still feel that someone else must take my seat for Micronesia. I believe rotation should be given to other parts of Micronesia. Others should look into that so that there can be continuity. I don't think any one person is indispensable. But since we have adopted some tasks for me to handle I will work to see those tasks performed. I will do these before I transfer this seat. It has been a pleasure to see Louis, Ted, Aida, Emmett, Ingrid, Hilda, Kalama, John. I want to thank Sandy for the contribution she has made to the movement. I hope Sandy will continue to work for Pacific issues wherever you are. Thank you. Have a safe journey home.

**OFFICE**

Because the office site must change, SC decided:

That staff should directly approach both churches and trade unions to request space as a contribution or on a reduced rental rate basis. The request should be made through a letter and background information on PCRC and through a small delegation. The office space should be near support services that PCRC needs and uses (post office, xerox, etc.) but not necessarily in Honolulu. It should be located within twenty minutes of the airport and support services.

Because PCRC needs equipment that can facilitate quicker communication and save staff time, SC decided:
That both staff and SC should seek in-kind contributions of a small xerox machine, telex, and computer, and report the efforts made at the next SC meeting. A proper proposal for in-kind donations should be developed.

STAFF

With the trend toward decentralization, it is necessary to redefine the roles and number of staff needed at PCRC. It was noted that as PCRC decentralizes, there is a higher level of managerial skills and experience needed for staff members. There was discussion on which staff position should be advertised now for Sandy's replacement; the need to contract out some of the work of fundraising; the fact that PCRC salary unfortunately cannot support a family; skills required for fundraising; the need to allocate funds for communication between Roman and Hilda during the staff selection process. Then SC decided:

That the functions of the SC Coordinator would be the job description used to advertise with the caution that all staff roles are under review. The list of skills needed among PCRC staff that was approved at the last SC meeting will be attached.

That if the person selected is not based in Hawaii already, that the following conditions will form part of the employment contract: round-trip airfare from home to Hawaii will be provided; up to four weeks of paid housing will be provided upon arrival; a housing allowance of $200 month will be provided in addition to the salary.

That three levels of action will be undertaken to fill the position: personal approach to those whose names have surfaced; sending a letter to the 65-70 identified people close to NFIP and PCRC seeking their help; advertising through Pacific Bulletin.

That the process of application will be as follows: Applicants must write a letter of interest; secure two letters of reference from NFIP re-
lated groups with whom they have worked; forward a resume which will include their educational background and work experience. Deadline for applications to be completed is May 31, 1985, at the latest.

TREASURER

The function of a volunteer treasurer was further explained. The treasurer need not be a member of PCRC, but is trusted by PCRC and commands the respect of funding partners. The treasurer holds the funds. The office worker does all the bookkeeping and gives regular bills to treasurer who authorizes bill paying. There are two signatures required on all checks; the treasurer need not be one of them.

Discussion pointed out that using a treasurer can avoid problems, but that person would need to know PCRC financial policies and practices. Two signatures is customary security measure. It was noted that PCRC has always required two signatures. SC asked who the authorized signatures are now. Currently the three staff members are the three authorized signatures. The practice is that any two can sign. It may be possible to have a volunteer review the bookkeeping on a monthly basis to ensure that it is up to date. That suggestion, however, does not ensure that the spending is according to SC policy or the purpose of any particular grant. SC needs to review the structure and decide who has ultimate responsibility for office operations. A treasurer would relieve the responsibilities of the Chairperson. Then SC decided:

That the office of Treasurer should be explored by Roman who will make recommendations to the SC.

That the SC Coordinator position be one permanent signature on all checks plus one other.

That Roman has authority over staff during the transition period, in consultation with Emmett.
RESTRUCTURING

John, Kotra, and Roman formed this task group. They recommended:

That Roman go to PCRC as soon as possible either after his Fiji meeting or before his trip to West Germany in order to evaluate the present reality through individual interviews with all staff and Advisory Committee members. Roman will raise specific questions with all concerned.

That the following serve as guidelines for restructuring PCRC:

1) The Advisory Committee no longer has a role.
2) The SC is responsible to the NFIPC.
3) The PCRC office is responsible to the SC.
4) The SC has a Chairperson, Roman Bedor, and a Secretary, Hilda Halkyard Harawira, who will serve until the next NFIPC.
5) Within the PCRC office, the SC Coordinator position has ultimate responsibility for all office operations.
6) Signatures to PCRC banking accounts are the SC Coordinator position plus two others. Only two signatures are required, one of which is the SC Coordinator position.
7) Hiring of all other staff and salary determinations are the responsibility of the SC Coordinator position. Appointment to staff positions must be done in consultation with the SC through the Chairperson.
8) The accounting of financial records is the responsibility of the Office Coordinator on a daily basis. There should also be a monthly audit by a volunteer. PCRC should adopt a written set of procedures for accounting purposes. Although this position keeps the daily record of finances, the ultimate responsibility for any discrepancies rests with the SC Coordinator position.
9) Should staff be reduced, the SC Coordinator position is the one which remains and so is responsible for all office operations with con-
tractual services, as necessary.

Discussion raised these additional points: that the new title for the SC Coordinator position is Director; that employment contracts will be drawn up for each position which will form the basis for each staff report to SC meeting when the areas of the contract will be reviewed by the SC. The effectiveness of the contract remains; that Finance Committee members should be informed of the change in structure; that regions should submit names of potential fundraisers for contractual work within their region; that Advisory Committee does not have authority over the SC; it's not a second SC; I want that recorded in the Minutes. SC then decided:

That the recommendation and guidelines be adopted.

CHAIRPERSON/OTHER BUSINESS

Hilda and Sandy formed this task group while Aida drafted resolutions from this meeting. They summarize recommendations for the role of Chairperson and the tasks during and following the transition period. See Appendix XI.

SC adopted the following tasks for the Chairperson during and following the transition period:

1) Approves revision of 1985-1986 Funding Request after consultation with SC.
2) Reviews staffing pattern at PCRC during transition period and makes recommendations for any revision to SC and to funding partners.
3) Handles correspondence with funding agencies during transition period.
4) Develops employment contracts for staff members
5) Provides mechanism for staff to consult with SC when decisions are needed, e.g. any disagreements on SC meeting Minutes, etc.
6) Receives advisory committee definition of its role and membership by June 30; reviews it, and makes recommendation to SC.
7) Mediates any internal difficulties with staff on behalf of SC.
8) Researches legal status of PCRC and PCRC Educational Fund.

9) Determines which PCRC staff position participates in next SC meeting and whether needs warrant an additional PCRC staff position or affiliate office staff position to participate, depending on finance.

10) Assists staff in development of SC meeting agenda before next SC meeting.

11) Meets with Amelia Rokotuivuna in Fiji re PCRC Director’s position.

12) Receives applications for Director’s position and recommends to SC staff replacement, in cooperation with Hilda.

VPCC:

1) To meet with VPCC staff representative along with SC representative from Region 1, Yann Uregei, in Fiji.

2) To clarify VPCC’s response to 1984 PCRC position paper on relationship with VPCC and to complete tasks required for past joint fundraising efforts.

3) To investigate any suggestions from VPCC about joint campaign work, especially planning for and implementation of indigenous peoples conference/consultation.

4) To explain how it happened that VPCC was not officially invited to attend this SC meeting and to report SC decision that one VPCC staff shall be ex officio member of PCRC SC.

There was discussion on housing and financial needs for Roman and John during the transition period. There was concern expressed about the burden that places on families. It was suggested that PCRC should finance Roman’s family to accompany him or to provide two roundtrip airfares so that Roman can return to Belau during the two months. These costs would be in addition to receiving the wages of the vacated position. It was also suggested that private housing be secured for Roman/John so as not to endanger the neutrality of their mission for PCRC by needing to accept any staff or Advisory Committee hospitality. Roman responded
that many movement people have family responsibilities so he is reluctant to spend PCRC funds for his family's airfare. It may be possible that his family could join him, in which case he would accept a housing allowance but not airfare. In the end, SC decided:

That Roman and later John would receive $200 month housing allowance, in addition to the salary of the vacated position.

It was suggested that if SC members do come to Belau in November, that some time should be set aside and an agenda be developed so that SC can have a meeting. It was also pointed out that PCRC needs to become more self-reliant, to decrease its budget, and not depend on funding partners.

Hilda and Sandy also prepared two reports: Conference Notices, Appendix XII and Provisional Report of SC Meeting Expenses, Appendix XIII. Hilda also volunteered to collate materials that need to be sent to SC and staff along with the draft of the SC meeting Minutes.

The ninth SC meeting adjourned during the afternoon of April 8.
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PCRC STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING – APRIL 1 – 6, 1985
BELAU PACIFIC CENTRE
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1) Working groundrules of the meeting: meeting times; chairpersons; etc.
2) SC Regional Reports.
3) Staff reports:
   Implementation of PCRC's 1984 Goals.
   Fundraising Report to SC since last SC Meeting.
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      - Campaign Support Status Report.
4) Affiliate Offices Reports.
5) Staffing at PCRC.
6) Site of PCRC.
7) Campaigns: Priorities and Support Actions for Next Period.
10) Liaison with other liberation movements.
11) Next SC meeting.
12) Evaluation.
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PACIFIC CONCERNS RESOURCE CENTER
STATEMENT OF INCOME, EXPENSES, AND FUND BALANCES
31 December 1984

INCOME

CONTRIBUTIONS, RESTRICTED
PCRC 76,483.76
BPC 5,722.00
PPANAC 1,365.40
VPOC 5,595.00
KANAK SCHOLARSHIPS 5,873.71

CONTRIBUTIONS, UNRESTRICTED
4,224.82

DONATIONS FOR EDUCATIONAL MATERIAL
4,834.94

SUBSCRIPTIONS TO PACIFIC BULLETIN
1,482.25

SUBSCRIPTIONS TO PANDANUS PERIODICAL
55.00

INTERSHIP SUPPORT
686.66

MISCELLANEOUS INCOME
1,318.92

INTEREST EARNED
679.33

ADVANCEMENTS
6,000.00

TOTAL INCOME
$114,241.79

EXPENSES

PERSONNEL
NET SALARIES 26,531.98

FEDERAL TAXES 6,149.41

STATE TAXES 2,900.23

HEALTH INSURANCE 100.00

OFFICE EXPENSES

TELEPHONE 8,374.73

CABLE 1,356.06

POSTAGE 6,316.81

RENT 5,008.00

BANK FEES 148.91

MACHINE SERVICING 102.96

COMPUTER EXPENSES 18.62

35,681.62

21,582.30
### BALANCE SHEET
31 December 1984

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assets</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash on Hand</td>
<td>3,141.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts Receivable</td>
<td>2,955.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventory</td>
<td>19,633.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Assets</td>
<td>$25,730.41</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Liabilities</th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accounts Payable</td>
<td>3,397.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total Liabilities</td>
<td>$3,397.49</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Corporate Equity                            | $22,332.92 |
| Total Corporate Equity and Liabilities      | $25,730.41 |
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PACIFIC CONCERNS RESOURCE CENTER
CONSOLIDATED REPORT OF INCOME, EXPENSES AND FUND BALANCES
JANUARY - FEBRUARY 1985

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>INCOME</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Restricted Contributions</td>
<td>10,726.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unrestricted Contributions</td>
<td>904.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donations for Educational Materials</td>
<td>4,099.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscriptions for Pacific Bulletin</td>
<td>431.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Subscriptions for Pandanus Periodical</td>
<td>70.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Internship Support</td>
<td>166.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Interest Earned</td>
<td>79.87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loans</td>
<td>10,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Transitory Income</td>
<td>21.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Income</strong></td>
<td>26,499.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>EXPENSES</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Personnel</td>
<td>10,763.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office</td>
<td>4,192.46</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td>1,499.67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cable</td>
<td>120.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postage</td>
<td>1,052.41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rent</td>
<td>1,159.64</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Machine Servicing</td>
<td>46.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Supplies</td>
<td>161.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Storage</td>
<td>152.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Educational Materials</td>
<td>1,346.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Travel</td>
<td>2,142.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC Meeting Expenses</td>
<td>8,401.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>General Expenses</td>
<td>2,020.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td>209.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airfare</td>
<td>6,172.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total Expenses</strong></td>
<td>26,847.24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Excess of Expenses over Income $348.00
Beg. Fund Balance $3,141.74
End. Fund Balance $2,793.74
PACIFIC CONCERNS RESOURCE CENTER
BALANCE SHEET
PERIOD ENDING- MARCH 31, 1985

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ASSETS</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cash</td>
<td></td>
<td>2,793.74</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FHB Account</td>
<td>2,502.74</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ILA Account</td>
<td>116.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vanuatu US$ Account</td>
<td>175.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accounts Receivable</td>
<td>5,751.86</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Inventory</td>
<td>18,170.25</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL ASSETS</strong></td>
<td><strong>$26,715.85</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>LIABILITIES</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accounts Payable</td>
<td>2,287.48</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Notes Payable</td>
<td>10,000.00</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL LIABILITIES</strong></td>
<td><strong>$12,287.48</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

| Corporate Equity            | **$14,428.37** |     |
| Corporate Equity and Total Liabilities | **$26,715.85** |     |
**PACIFIC CONCERNS RESOURCE CENTER**

**INVENTORY**

31 MARCH 1985

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Price</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Collision Course at Kwajalein</td>
<td>1,625</td>
<td>$6.95</td>
<td>11,293.75</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NFIPCR/83</td>
<td>825</td>
<td>4.00</td>
<td>3,300.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Marshall Islands Chronology</td>
<td>1,000</td>
<td>3.00</td>
<td>3,000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Palau</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>410.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Home on the Range</td>
<td>222</td>
<td>0.75</td>
<td>166.50</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Total** $18,170.25

*All resources are valued at their single copy walk-in price.*
RESOLUTION ON KANAK INDEPENDENCE adopted by the
PACIFIC CONCERNS RESOURCE CENTER STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING
KOROR, BELAU, APRIL 1-6, 1985

The Pacific Concerns Resource Center Steering Committee of the Nuclear Free & Independent Pacific Movement, at its 9th meeting in the Republic of Belau from April 1-6, 1985, expresses its total and unconditional support for the Kanak people in their liberation struggle.

It calls upon all governments of the Pacific Region at the next South Pacific Forum to take a firm and unequivocal stand in support of the struggle of the indigenous Kanak people and further to demand that the French Government immediately recognise Kanak Socialist Independence.

ROMAN BEDOR
CHAIRPERSON

for the Steering Committee:

Region 1: Louis Kotra Uregei
Region 2: John Hermann
Region 3: Hilda Halkyard-Harawira
Region 4: Roman Bedor
Region 5: Ted Means
Region 6: Noa Emmett Aluli
Region 7: Sr Aida Valasquez OSB
Dear Friends,

We appreciate Ingrid being allowed to act as French-English interpreter for Louis Kotra Uregei at the recent PCRC Steering Committee meeting in Belau, April 1-6, 1985.

At our meeting we reaffirmed the Kanak Independence struggle as the highest priority campaign for PCRC. It was proposed that this particular campaign could become more effective if we had one person to coordinate and disseminate overall information throughout the NFIP movement and other support networks.

There are key people in different countries who are organising support for Kanak independence. However, there is little link up between these activists and movements, and at times our Action Alerts and information updates are outdated.

The Steering Committee has made a recommendation that, because of her skills and background, Ingrid serve as information coordinator for the Kanak Independence campaign. We understand that Ingrid has carried other responsibilities in your Network. However our assessment of the urgency of the situation in Kanaky compells us to request that Ingrid be allowed to concentrate her full efforts on this task.

We hope that you will give this request serious consideration. If you have any questions, please contact Steering Committee Chairperson:

Roman Bedor,
Belau Pacific Center,
PO Box 58,
Koror,
Republic of Belau. 96940.
STEERING COMMITTEE RESOLUTION ON NUCLEAR WASTE DUMPING IN THE PACIFIC OCEAN

The 9th Pacific Concerns Resource Center Steering Committee Meeting in the Republic of Belau, April 1-6, 1985, is deeply concerned with the Japanese plans to dump nuclear wastes in the Marianas Trench. We are also well aware of the advanced stage of planning of the United States to store highly radioactive nuclear wastes underneath our ocean floor. Along with all Pacific governments and churches we stand in unconditional opposition to both plans.

In particular, we call upon the governments participating in the Ninth Consultative Meeting of the London Dumping Convention (LDC) in September 1985 to take a firm stand against the ocean dumping. In the light of the still unresolvable hazards, an extension of the moratorium is the least the people of the Pacific are compelled to demand. The intention of the Science and Technology Agency (STA) of Japan to dump after the September 1985 decision of the LDC without any consensus of the Pacific nations deeply worries us. Prime Minister Nakasone's "moratorium" does not pacify us. Before asking for the trust of the Pacific Islanders, he should make sure that his words are followed by the appropriate actions of his Science and Technology Agency. So far, we have to conclude that Nakasone aims at diverting the unanimous Pacific opposition and furthering his "economic cooperation" schemes for the Pacific region.

The Pacific Ocean is our continent. The deadly effects of radioactive waste on the ocean food chains will remain for millions of years. We believe that nuclear waste dumping is neither safe, nor right, nor just.

Attested by: Roman Bedor
Chairperson

for the Steering Committee:

Region 1: Louis Kotra Uregei
Region 2: John Hermann
Region 3: Hilda Halkyard-Harawira
Region 4: Roman Bedor
Region 5: Ted Means
Region 6: Noa Emmett Aluli
Region 7: Sr Aida Velasquez OSB

This resolution will be submitted to all appropriate governmental and non-governmental agencies.
STATEMENT OF CONCERN on the BATAAN NUCLEAR POWER PLANT

WHEREAS THE PACIFIC CONCERNS RESOURCE CENTER STEERING COMMITTEE MEETING IN KOROR, BELAU, ON APRIL 1-6, 1985, DISCUSSED THE BATAAN NUCLEAR POWER PLANT AND THE FOLLOWING POSITION WAS ADOPTED:

The movement for a Nuclear Free and Independent Pacific recognizes the right of Filipinos to live free from threats of nuclear technology and industry and strongly supports their concern regarding the safety and economic performance of the Bataan Nuclear Power Plant (BNPP).

We find the absence of provisions for the permanent disposal of radioactive waste very grave. The fact that no country in the world, including those which export nuclear technology, has addressed this problem responsibly makes this very alarming. The plan of Japan to dump nuclear waste in the Pacific, following similar practice of countries like the US, UK, France etc., justifies this alarm.

The thousands of radiation victims the world over condemn the nuclear fuel cycle, starting with the mining, milling and enrichment of uranium, the transport of radioactive materials, the operation of nuclear power plants, the manufacture, testing and use of nuclear weapons and ending with radioactive wastes. They denounce the ill effects of nuclear technology and industry, and the activities and enterprises which render such inhumanity to the human family.

At a time when developed countries are turning away from nuclear power or trimming down their nuclear programs, they are dumping nuclear reactors not only in the Philippines but also in Asia - South Korea, Hong Kong, Bangladesh, Taiwan, etc. We understand why concerned Filipinos see no economic or social advantage from the construction and operation of the BNPP and foresee worse impoverishment for their countrymen.

The Bhopal tragedy warns us that claims of expertise and the installation of safety equipment, are no guarantee against disasters. This also shows the need for big corporations and their executive boards to be held accountable for such accidents. This applies also to the Westinghouse International Project Co. (WIPCO). According to reliable information, one of the onerous stipulations of the contract between the National Power Corporation (owner of the BNPP) and the WIPCO, is that the latter is guaranteed from all liabilities that may arise from any nuclear accident at the BNPP.

For these reasons, we and the people of the Pacific whom we serve, are closely following the development of this issue and are truly concerned that the public hearing scheduled by the Philippine Atomic Energy Commission in May on the BNPP will be exhaustive and genuinely address all the grave questions surrounding it.
IT IS HEREBY RESOLVED THAT THE SAID STATEMENT OF CONCERN IS UNANIMOUSLY APPROVED BY THE STEERING COMMITTEE.


Attested by: Roman Bedor
Chairperson

for the Steering Committee:

Region 1: Louis Kotra Uregei
Region 2: John Hermann
Region 3: Hilda Halkyard-Harawira
Region 4: Roman Bedor
Region 5: Ted Means
Region 6: Now Emmett Aluli
Region 7: Sr Aida Valasquez OSB
### APPENDIX IX

**INTERNATIONAL SOLIDARITY DAY CALENDAR**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Region</th>
<th>Event Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Region I</td>
<td>20 April 1985 International Solidarity Day with Kanak Struggle</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>30 July 1980 Vanuatu Independence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>March: Anti Nuclear March in Tahiti</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region II</td>
<td>Will send later</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region III</td>
<td>February 6: Waitangi Day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Australia ??</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region IV</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region V</td>
<td>February 27 Anniversary of Wounded Knee Liberation, '73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>April 4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region VI</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Region VII</td>
<td>August 6 Hiroshima</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>August 9 Nagasaki</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>June 12 Independence Day, Philippines</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>December 10 Human Rights Day</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
VOlE OF THANKS TO SANDY GALAZIN

That this meeting of the Steering Committee acknowledges the dedicated service that Sandy Galazin M.M., has given towards the Nuclear Free and Independent Pacific Movement.

Her contribution has been outstanding, especially as it relates to the firm establishment of the Pacific Concerns Resource Center in Hawaii, during these first five formative years.

In all the commitments asked of her, from the NFIP Conferences in Hawaii 1980, and Vanuatu in 1983, as well as in all nine meetings of the Steering Committee, Sandy has spared no time and effort.

For all that she has done for the PCRC and the whole N.F.I.P. movement, we owe her a debt of gratitude, and we wish her well in her next job in the Asia-Pacific Region.

ADOPTED UNANIMOUSLY

Sister Aida Velasquez/Louis Kotra Uregei

8th April, 1985
Role as Chairperson:
- When decision needs to be made, Chairperson will consult SC members, give a deadline for response. If there is no response from three other SC members by given deadline, the Chairperson has discretion to make a decision or defer until next meeting.
- Represents SC in between SC meetings (as does Board of Directors).
- Staff to consult Chairperson when direction is needed.

Quorum:
- PCRC SC meeting = 5.
- PCRC SC decision (in between meetings): chairperson + 3 other SC = 4.

Tasks During Transition Period, May 1 - July 30, 1985:
- Approves revision of funding request after consultation with SC.
- Review staffing pattern at PCRC during transition period and make recommendations for any revision to SC and funding request.
- Handles correspondence with funding agencies during transition period.
- Develops employment contract for staff.
- Provides mechanism for staff to consult with SC when decisions are needed, e.g. any disagreements on SC minutes.
- Receives advisory committee definition of its role and membership by June 30.
- Mediates any internal difficulties with staff on behalf of SC.
- To research legal status of PCRC and PCRC education fund.
- Determines which PCRC staff position participates in next SC meeting and when needs warrant additional staff to participate, depending on finances (and whether affiliate office staff to participate).
- To assist staff in development of SC meeting agenda before next SC meeting.
- To meet with Amelia Rokotuivuna in Fiji re office director's position.

VPCC:
- To meet with VPCC staff representative along with SC representative from Region 1, Yann Uregel, in Fiji.
- To clarify VPCC's response to 1984 PCRC position paper on relationship with VPCC, and to complete tasks required for past joint fundraising efforts.
- To investigate any suggestions re VPCC about joint campaign work, especially planning for and implementation of indigenous peoples conference/consultation.

- To explain to VPCC for not officially inviting VPCC rep. to attend SC meeting and to report decision that one VPCC staff shall be ex officio member of PCRC SC.

1) South Pacific Women Peace Conference
28-30 June, 1985
new brochure attached.
Conference organiser - Milinga Pitch, WILPF
Rm 301, 3rd floor,
152 Castlereagh St., Sydney, NSW 2000.
Australia, tel: (02) 207 1106.
(02) 207 2724.

2) UN Conference, Decade of Women
Nairobi, July. Big international women's conference. Good if those travelling to Sydney could take it to the Nairobi conference. Write to UN for more details.

3) International Conference for Peace & Liberation
Lisbon, Oct 1985
Pacific region has been allocated 10 positions to attend this conference. Suggested 10 positions to go to representatives of the following liberation movements:
1) East Timor
2) West Papua
3) Kamby
4) Tahiti and Aitutaki
5) Maori
6) John's region
7) Okinawa
8) Hawaii
9) Micronesia
10) Palau

(Please read Vienna report by HRU, Jan, 1985 for background data)

An invitation for Pacific region - I would like to suggest that Filipino representation be sought from Asian delegation and American Indian positions be sought from American delegation. This would allow for representation from Pacific Island areas.

If you are interested in putting forward a name, please contact me, Hilda Halkyard-Kariainia, by June 10 and I can alert organizers. Your name would be expected to pay 20% of the fare.

4) Sovereignty Forum, November 1985
Republic of Belau
Aston Park will be issuing invitations to SC members to attend. It will also be another opportunity for SC to meet. He is also inviting staff from PCRC-affiliated offices to attend.

5) World Conference Against A & H Bombs, Japan, late July-mid August:
Each year PCRC is sent an invitation for one SC rep. to attend. Is any SC member willing to represent PCRC at this conference? The ticket is usually paid for.

Hilda Halkyard-Kariainia
CONFERENCES NOTICES

This is a note to SC members to remind you of conference dates raised at the SC meeting. If possible, could you seek representatives of your movement to attend any of the following conferences:

1) **South Pacific Women's Peace Conference**
   see brochure attached.
   Conference organiser Gillian Fisher, WILPF
   Rm 301, 3rd floor,
   156 Castlereagh St,
   Sydney, NSW 2000,
   Australia. Ph (02) 267 1108.
   (02) 327 3724.

2) **UN Conference, Decade of Women**
   Nairobi, July. Big international women's conference. Good if those travelling to Sydney could make it to the Nairobi conference. Write to UN for more details.

3) **International Conference for Peace & Liberation**
   Pacific region has been allocated 10 positions to attend this conference.
   Suggested 10 positions to go to representatives of the following liberation movements:
   1) East Timor
   2) West Papua
   3) Kanaky
   4) Tahiti nui
   5) Aborigine
   6) Maori
   7) John's region
   8) Hawaii
   9) Belau
   10) Micronesia

(Please read Vienna report by HHH, Jan. 1985 for background data).

As liaison for Pacific region - I would like to suggest that Filipino representation be sought from Asian delegation and American Indian positions be sought from American delegation. This would allow for more representation from Pacific Island areas.

If you are interested in putting forward a name, please contact me, Hilda Halkyard-Harawira, by June 30 so I can alert organisers. Your reps. would be expected to pay 25% of the fare.

4) **Sovereignty Forum, November 1985, Republic of Belau**
   Roman Bedor will be issuing invitations to SC members to attend.
   It will also be another opportunity for SC to meet. He is also inviting staff from PCRC affiliated offices to attend.

5) **World Conference Against A & H Bombs, Japan, late July-mid August**
   Each year PCRC is sent an invitation for an SC rep. to attend.
   Is any SC member willing to represent PCRC at this conference?
   The ticket is usually paid for.

____________________________________________________

Hilda Halkyard-Harawira
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Originally Proposed</th>
<th>Donated</th>
<th>Spent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SC Meeting Expenses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Pre-Meeting</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Communications costs for SC consultation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Telephone</td>
<td>700</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cable</td>
<td>100</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Postage</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Xerox</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>International Travel</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SC Members</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auckland</td>
<td>1,284</td>
<td>1,200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Manila</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>250</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Noumea</td>
<td>748</td>
<td></td>
<td>910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rarotonga</td>
<td>974</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,211</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SF</td>
<td>1,482</td>
<td>458</td>
<td>1,283</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honolulu</td>
<td>1,152</td>
<td>600</td>
<td>513</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Staff</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SC Coordinator</td>
<td>1,152</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,073</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office Coordinator</td>
<td>1,152</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,088</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Campaign Support Coordinator</td>
<td>1,152</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Overnight accommodations</strong></td>
<td>560</td>
<td></td>
<td>375</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SC Meeting Costs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accommodations, supplies, food, local transport for 11 people for 9 nights</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>2,000</td>
<td>245</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>French-English interpreter</td>
<td>1,800</td>
<td></td>
<td>1,561</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
## ORIGINAL EXPENSES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Originally Proposed</th>
<th>Donated</th>
<th>Spent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Expenses</td>
<td>14,606</td>
<td>3,500</td>
<td>11,106</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Donated</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Grants Needed</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## ACTUAL DONATIONS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4,508</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## ACTUAL EXPENSES TO DATE:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8,259</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## ANTICIPATED ADDITIONAL EXPENSES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Communications</td>
<td>2,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Airfare</td>
<td>22-205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Accommodations, Belau Pacific Center</td>
<td>???</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Anticipated Expenses: 2,332 - 2,515

## TOTAL ACTUAL AND MINIMUM ANTICIPATED EXPENSES:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10,581 - 10,774</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## TOTAL ACTUAL DONATIONS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4,508</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## TOTAL GRANTS:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Description</th>
<th>Amount</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Australian Council of Churches</td>
<td>3,437</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Commission on International Aid</td>
<td>2,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ping &amp; Carol Ferry</td>
<td>1,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OCFDC</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CORSO (meeting this week)</td>
<td>?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Total Income: Donations and Grants: 11,445

***************

.../3
1. Bills not yet received, but estimated to be $2,000.

2. Pledged, but not yet received from Gensuikin.

3. John may be able to return up to $250, depending on travel connections.

4. USPIN most likely will be paying an additional $270 in order to pay 50% of the original ticket cost of $1,461. (There was a misunderstanding and so USPIN paid only $458). Since that agreement was reached, Ted needed an additional $280 in order for him to get home earlier. He expected to try for a different Manila/SF flight when in Manila, but I cannot anticipate whether it will be higher or lower fare than what it already cost ($843: Manila/Honolulu 614 and Hon/SF 229).

5. PCRC owes $150 to Emmett. He contributed $750, but then used a cheaper fare so he has requested $150 to be reimbursed to him.

6. Additional costs are not yet known for early return. Original ticket was Koror/Gum $250 and Guam/Honolulu $294 for a total of $544. This will be completely reimbursable. The new ticket costs are Koror/Manila $125 and Manila/Honolulu which will range between $441 (via Philippine Airlines) and $624 (via NWO). Therefore, new ticket should cost between $566 and $749 for an additional cost to PCRC of $22 to $205.

7. Original estimate did not include departure taxes which are now included. Reimbursements were made to: HHH - $80; JH - $90; Louis - $90; Aida - $115.

8. I expect additional costs here.

9. Airfare/departure taxes/hotel/airport transport in DC.

10. For Kalama's re-routing.

11. Ted asked for $125; Emmett for $55 (Guam hotel for 3); Sandy $130; For Manila, overnight, Aida had arranged for them overnight accommodations for $5/night, but we understand they preferred a hotel closer to airport. Emmett indicated he would submit more expenses upon return to Honolulu. Kalama did not submit any expenses yet.

12. CCFD will grant us $6,600, but it is not certain yet what portion will be designated for SC meeting expenses.

13. CORSO is considering this week our request for $3,500.

Submitted by: Sandy Galazin, MM

April 9, 1985