

Testimony of the Honorable Daniel K. Akaka on federal employee pay reform

Senator Daniel K. Akaka Papers

Public relations, Speeches, statements, and messages, Box PR1, Folder 128

<https://hdl.handle.net/10524/78171>

Items in eVols are protected by copyright, with all rights reserved, unless otherwise indicated.

UHM Library Digital Collections Disclaimer and Copyright Information

TESTIMONY OF THE HONORABLE DANIEL K. AKAKA

ON

FEDERAL EMPLOYEE PAY REFORM

NOVEMBER 15, 1979

ONCE AGAIN, I APPRECIATE HAVING THE OPPORTUNITY TO ADDRESS THIS SUBCOMMITTEE ON THE SUBJECT OF THE PRESIDENT'S FEDERAL PAY REFORM LEGISLATION. THE LAST TIME I TESTIFIED WAS AT THE INITIAL HEARING ON PAY REFORM. AT THAT TIME, THE SUBCOMMITTEE HEARD FROM ADMINISTRATION WITNESSES AND FROM SOME OF OUR COLLEAGUES WHO EXPRESSED CONCERN ABOUT THE PAY PROPOSAL.

BECAUSE I HAVE TESTIFIED BEFORE, I WILL LIMIT MY REMARKS TO A FEW POINTS THAT HAVE COME TO LIGHT NOW THAT THE ADMINISTRATION HAS BEGUN TO ACTIVELY LOBBY FOR THIS BILL. I AM VERY THANKFUL TO HAVE THE RECENTLY ISSUED REPORT OF THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON FEDERAL PAY. I AM ENCOURAGED BY THE COMMITTEE'S FINDINGS THAT THE CURRENT FEDERAL PAY SYSTEM IS SOUND AND THAT THE ADMINISTRATION'S ALTERNATIVE IS IMPRACTICAL AND WOULD BE MORE EXPENSIVE.

MADAME CHAIRWOMAN, I AM DISTRESSED AT THE MANNER IN WHICH THE ADMINISTRATION HAS GONE ABOUT SELLING H.R. 4477. I AM SURE THAT SOME OF THE MEMBERS OF THE SUBCOMMITTEE SHARE MY CONCERN. FROM THE START, THE OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT HAS CIRCULATED DECEPTIVE AND MISLEADING INFORMATION ABOUT FEDERAL EMPLOYMENT AND THEIR PAY PROPOSAL. THEIR APPROACH IS DESIGNED TO PLACE ADDED PRESSURE ON MEMBERS OF CONGRESS WHO HEAR DEMANDS FROM THEIR CONSTITUENTS FOR FISCAL RESTRAINT. I HOPE THE SUBCOMMITTEE WILL JOIN ME

IN CAUTIONING THE ADMINISTRATION ABOUT USING THIS TACTIC TO SELL THEIR PROPOSAL.

LAST SUMMER, ALLEN K. CAMPBELL, DIRECTOR OF THE OPM, WROTE HUNDREDS OF NEWSPAPERS ACROSS THE COUNTRY IN AN ATTEMPT TO WIN EDITORIAL SUPPORT FOR HIS LEGISLATION. Ms. SPELLMAN, I WOULD APPRECIATE IT IF A COPY OF THIS LETTER COULD BE PRINTED IN THE RECORD FOLLOWING MY TESTIMONY. (THANK YOU.) IN THIS LETTER, Mr. CAMPBELL STATED THAT, "AVERAGE FEDERAL PAY TODAY IS ABOUT \$17,000 A YEAR, WHILE THE PAY IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR IS \$12,000." VERY SIMPLY, WHAT Mr. CAMPBELL HAS DONE IS TO COMPARE APPLES WITH ORANGES. IT IS ILLOGICAL TO CONCLUDE THAT SINCE FEDERAL EMPLOYEE COMPENSATION IS HIGHER, THEY MUST BE OVERPAID.

AS THE ADVISORY COMMISSION ON FEDERAL PAY HAS POINTED OUT, THE ADMINISTRATION IS TRYING TO BOLSTER THE POPULAR BELIEF THAT FEDERAL PAY IS TOO HIGH. THEY HAVE DONE THIS BY MAKING COMPARISONS BETWEEN AVERAGE PAY FOR TWO DISSIMILAR WORK POOLS INSTEAD OF COMPARING LIKE PAY FOR LIKE JOBS. I DO NOT DISPUTE THAT THE AVERAGE SALARY OF FEDERAL WORKERS IS GREATER THAN THE AVERAGE TAXPAYER'S. WE MUST RECOGNIZE, HOWEVER, THAT THE LEVEL OF EDUCATION, JOB TYPE, AND YEARS OF EXPERIENCE FOR FEDERAL EMPLOYEES IS MUCH DIFFERENT FROM THOSE IN PRIVATE EMPLOYMENT.

NOTHING IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR APPROACHES THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN SIZE, COMPLEXITY OR PROFESSIONALISM. THE SKILL LEVEL CONCENTRATION IS SIMPLY MUCH HIGHER IN THE FEDERAL SECTOR. IN SUPPORT OF THIS, I CITE THE FOLLOWING FACTS:

FIRST, THERE ARE TWICE AS MANY PROFESSIONAL, TECHNICAL, MEDICAL, ADMINISTRATIVE AND MANAGERIAL EMPLOYEES IN THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AS THERE ARE IN PRIVATE INDUSTRY.

SECOND, MORE THAN 75 PERCENT OF THE FEDERAL WORKFORCE IS WHITE COLLAR. LESS THAN HALF OF THE PRIVATE WORKFORCE IS WHITE COLLAR. THIS EASILY ACCOUNTS FOR THE \$5,000 DIFFERENCE BETWEEN FEDERAL EMPLOYEES AND THE PRIVATE SECTOR.

THIRD, THE FEDERAL WORKFORCE IS MORE HIGHLY EDUCATED. MORE THAN 33 PERCENT OF ALL FEDERAL WHITE COLLAR EMPLOYEES HOLD A COLLEGE DIPLOMA AND AN ADVANCED DEGREE. SEVENTEEN (17) PERCENT OF THE PRIVATE SECTOR IS AS EDUCATED.

FROM THIS, I THINK THE SUBCOMMITTEE CAN SEE JUST HOW DECEPTIVE MR. CAMPBELL'S STATEMENTS REALLY ARE.

IN THE SAME LETTER TO EDITORS ACROSS THE COUNTRY, MR. CAMPBELL PROMISES \$3.2 BILLION IN SAVINGS IF THIS LEGISLATION IS IMPLEMENTED. MADAME CHAIRWOMAN, MANY PEOPLE

HAVE DONE CALCULATIONS USING THAT \$3.2 BILLION FIGURE, AND I'VE CHECKED THEIR MATHEMATICS. IF YOU TAKE \$3.2 BILLION AND DIVIDE IT BY THE NUMBER OF FEDERAL EMPLOYEES IN 1976 (WHICH IS THE LAST YEAR FOR WHICH A COMPLETE TOTAL FOR FEDERAL EMPLOYMENT IS AVAILABLE), YOU WILL FIND THAT A \$3.2 BILLION CUT IN EXPENDITURES FOR FEDERAL EMPLOYEES' SALARIES AMOUNTS TO \$1,500 PER EMPLOYEE. OBVIOUSLY, THIS \$3.2 BILLION FIGURE IS ABSURD.

WHAT WE HAVE HERE IS A SITUATION WHERE THE OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT HAS PROMISED TO CUT \$1,500 PER EMPLOYEE WHILE MAINTAINING COMPARABILITY AT A TIME WHEN THE GOVERNMENT'S OWN STATISTICS SHOW THAT 4 OUT OF 5 WHITE COLLAR EMPLOYEES HAVE NOT ACHIEVED COMPARABILITY. OPM SEEMS TO BE PROMISING THE IMPOSSIBLE.

THE REAL TRUTH IS THAT ANY SAVINGS TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT FROM THIS PAY PLAN WILL BE CONSIDERABLY LESS THAN \$3.2 BILLION. THE ADMINISTRATION KNOWS THAT ANY CUT THAT EVEN APPROACHES \$1,500 WOULD WRECK MORALE AND PRODUCTIVITY IN THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.

IF THE ADMINISTRATION IS IN EARNEST ABOUT REDUCING EXPENDITURES, I SUGGEST THAT THEY STOP ATTACKING THEIR OWN EMPLOYEES AND BEGIN A CAMPAIGN TO END FRAUD AND ABUSE IN GOVERNMENT SPENDING AND CONTRACTS. THESE ARE WRONGS THAT HAVE NO BENEFIT WHATSOEVER TO THE PUBLIC. FRAUD, ABUSE AND WASTE ARE THE WORST DRAIN ON THE TAXPAYER'S WALLET.

ALREADY THIS WEEK WE HAVE SEEN TWO REPORTS OF INCIDENTS WHERE THE TAXPAYER'S MONEY IS BEING SWINDLED. THESE REPORTS APPEARED IN SUNDAY'S AND MONDAY'S WASHINGTON POST. MADAME CHAIRWOMAN, I WOULD APPRECIATE IT IF THESE COULD BE PRINTED IN THE RECORD. (THANK YOU)

THE FIRST ARTICLE REPORTS THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION AND WELFARE (HEW) IS LETTING DOCTORS WHO HAVE BEEN CONVICTED IN COURT OF MEDICAIDE AND MEDICARE FRAUD TO ONCE AGAIN ACCEPT MEDICAIDE AND MEDICARE PATIENTS. THESE DOCTORS HAVE BEEN FOUND GUILTY OF PRACTICES SUCH AS FRAUDULENT BILLING, BILLING FOR SERVICES NOT PERFORMED, AND PERFORMING NEEDLESS TESTS OR SURGERY. NINETY FOUR (94) DOCTORS HAVE BEEN REINSTATED THIS YEAR ALONE. THEIR OVERCHARGES HAVE COST THE GOVERNMENT HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS, AND THEY REPRESENT ONLY THOSE DOCTORS WHO HAVE BEEN CAUGHT.

IN ANOTHER INSTANCE WHICH WAS REPORTED IN SUNDAY'S PAPER, A CONSULTING FIRM WAS PAID \$29,000 TO STUDY WASTE IN THE WELFARE SYSTEM. UNFORTUNATELY, THIS WORK WAS NEVER DONE. THE SAME ARTICLE REPORTED THAT THE STUDY, WHICH COST THE TAXPAYERS \$184,000, WAS COMMISSIONED BECAUSE HEW FOUND IT HAD UNSPENT MONEY AT THE END OF THE YEAR. A PROPOSAL WAS WRITTEN IN 24 HOURS AND THE UNSPENT MONEY WAS AWARDED.

THESE TWO CASES ARE A FEW EXAMPLES OF WAYS IN WHICH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT COULD SAVE MANY TIMES MORE THAN THE \$3.2 BILLION WHICH THE OPM PROMISES IF THEY WOULD JUST BOTHER TO ACT. I THINK THE SUBCOMMITTEE WILL AGREE THAT THERE ARE FAR BETTER WAYS OF GETTING AT THE PROBLEM OF EXCESSIVE FEDERAL SPENDING THAN CUTTING THE SALARIES OF FEDERAL EMPLOYEES. THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HEW HAS REPORTED THAT THERE WAS \$3.9 TO \$4.2 BILLION IN FRAUD, ABUSE OR WASTE IN THE MEDICARE AND MEDICAIDE PROGRAMS ALONE IN 1978. I SUGGEST THAT WE BEGIN THERE IF WE WANT TO REDUCE WASTE IN THE FEDERAL BUDGET.

FINALLY, MADAME CHAIRWOMAN, I WANT TO RE-ISSUE A WARNING I MADE IN MY EARLIER TESTIMONY.

ANYONE WHO LOOKS CAREFULLY AT THIS BILL, H.R. 4477, WILL NOTE THAT IN SECTION AFTER SECTION, CONTROL OVER PAY AND BENEFITS OF FEDERAL EMPLOYEES WILL BE CONCENTRATED INTO THE HANDS OF THE PRESIDENT OR HIS DESIGNATE. UNDER THIS LEGISLATION, CONGRESSIONAL AUTHORITY WILL BE LIMITED TO INITIATING A RESOLUTION DISAPPROVING PAY PLANS WORKED OUT BY THE PRESIDENT AND THE OPM. THIS IS A DELIBERATE ATTEMPT TO ESTABLISH THE KIND OF CONTROL OVER THE FEDERAL WORKFORCE THAT CIVIL SERVANTS FEAR MOST-- DISCRETIONARY CONTROL OVER PAY AND BENEFITS.

MADAME CHAIRWOMAN, IN 1970, WE HAD AN ADMINISTRATION IN THE WHITE HOUSE THAT ADVOCATED A "GET TOUGH" ANTI-CRIME POSITION. SINCE THE DAYS OF THE NIXON ADMINISTRATION, WE HAVE LEARNED THAT THAT ADMINISTRATION WAS ENGAGED IN A SYSTEMATIC EFFORT TO MANIPULATE CRIME STATISTICS TO THEIR OWN ADVANTAGE. THESE ACTIVITIES WERE REPORTED IN THE PRESS AND HAVE BEEN VERIFIED BY PERSONS IN THE ADMINISTRATION AT THAT TIME.

VERY SIMPLY, THE NIXON ADMINISTRATION WAS ABLE TO STATISTICALLY LOWER CRIME FIGURES IN THE CITIES OF POLITICAL OFFICIALS WHO WERE THEIR SUPPORTERS, AND BOOST THE FIGURES IN THE CONSTITUENCIES OF THEIR OPPONENTS. THIS IS AN EXAMPLE OF RAW POLITICAL POWER BEING USED IN A WRONGFUL WAY TO ACHIEVE A DESIRED END.

AS WE ALL KNOW, FEDERAL WAGES ARE BASED UPON A SET OF STATISTICS THAT, IN MATHEMATICAL SENSE, RESEMBLE CRIME STATISTICS. THIS IS THE ANNUAL FEDERAL WAGE SURVEY. I DON'T ASSUME THAT FUTURE ADMINISTRATIONS WILL ABUSE THE FEDERAL WAGE SURVEY IN THE MANNER THAT THE NIXON ADMINISTRATION ABUSED CRIME STATISTICS. UNDER THIS LEGISLATIVE PROPOSAL, HOWEVER, THE ABILITY OF ANY EXECUTIVE TO USE FEDERAL PAY AS A POLITICAL TOOL WILL BE DRAMATICALLY INCREASED. CONTROL OVER PAY AND BENEFITS WILL BE SO CONCENTRATED INTO THE HANDS OF THE EXECUTIVE THAT CONGRESS WILL BE UNABLE TO DETECT ANY MANIPULATION.

FOR THESE AND OTHER REASONS, I OPPOSE THIS
FEDERAL PAY REFORM PACKAGE. I SEE THIS LEGISLATION
AS AN ATTEMPT TO TRANSFER PUBLIC DISSATISFACTION OVER
THE PROBLEMS OF THE NATION ONTO THE BACKS OF FEDERAL
EMPLOYEES WHERE IT DOES NOT BELONG. FEDERAL EMPLOYEES
ARE NOT THE SOURCE OF THE PROBLEMS, AND ARE ONLY BEING
USED AS A SCAPEGOAT FOR THE COUNTRY'S WOES.

ral

TESTIMONY OF THE HONORABLE DANIEL K. AKAKA

ON

FEDERAL EMPLOYEE PAY REFORM

NOVEMBER 15, 1979

ONCE AGAIN, I APPRECIATE HAVING THE OPPORTUNITY TO ADDRESS THIS SUBCOMMITTEE ON THE SUBJECT OF THE PRESIDENT'S FEDERAL PAY REFORM PROPOSAL. THE LAST TIME I SPOKE WAS AT THE INITIAL HEARING ON PAY REFORM. AT THAT TIME, THE SUBCOMMITTEE HEARD FROM ADMINISTRATION WITNESSES AND FROM SOME OF MY COLLEAGUES WHO EXPRESSED CONCERN ABOUT THE PAY PROPOSAL.

BECAUSE I HAVE TESTIFIED BEFORE, I WILL LIMIT MY REMARKS TO A FEW POINTS THAT HAVE COME TO LIGHT NOW THAT THE ADMINISTRATION HAS BEGUN TO ACTIVELY LOBBY FOR THIS LEGISLATION. I AM VERY THANKFUL TO HAVE THE REPORT RECENTLY ISSUED BY THE ADVISORY COMMITTEE ON FEDERAL PAY. I AM ENCOURAGED BY THE COMMITTEE'S FINDINGS THAT THE CURRENT FEDERAL PAY SYSTEM IS SOUND AND THAT THE ALTERNATIVE THAT HAS BEEN PROPOSED IS IMPRACTICAL AND WOULD BE MORE EXPENSIVE.

MADAME CHAIRWOMAN, I AM DISTRESSED AT THE MANNER IN WHICH THE ADMINISTRATION HAS GONE ABOUT SELLING THEIR NEW PAY PROPOSAL. I AM SURE THAT SOME OF THE MEMBERS OF THE SUBCOMMITTEE SHARE MY CONCERN. FROM THE START, THE OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT (OPM) HAS CIRCULATED DECEPTIVE AND MISLEADING INFORMATION ABOUT FEDERAL EMPLOYMENT AND THEIR PAY PROPOSAL. THIS MISINFORMATION IS PRESENTED IN WAYS THAT WILL SOUND APPEALING TO

MEMBERS OF CONGRESS WHO FEEL THE PRESSURES OF FISCAL RESTRAINT. I HOPE THE SUBCOMMITTEE WILL JOIN ME IN CAUTIONING THE ADMINISTRATION ABOUT USING DECEITFUL TACTICS TO SELL THIS PROPOSAL.

LAST SUMMER, ALLEN K. CAMPBELL, DIRECTOR OF THE OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT, WROTE HUNDREDS OF NEWSPAPERS ACROSS THE COUNTRY IN AN ATTEMPT TO WIN EDITORIAL SUPPORT FOR HIS LEGISLATION. Ms. SPELLMAN, I WOULD APPRECIATE IT IF A COPY OF THIS LETTER COULD BE PRINTED IN THE RECORD FOLLOWING MY TESTIMONY. (THANK YOU) IN THIS LETTER, Mr. CAMPBELL STATED THAT, "AVERAGE FEDERAL PAY TODAY IS ABOUT \$17,000 A YEAR, WHILE THE PAY IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR IS \$12,000." VERY SIMPLY, WHAT Mr. CAMPBELL HAS DONE IS TO COMPARE APPLES WITH ORANGES. IT IS ILLOGICAL TO CONCLUDE THAT SINCE FEDERAL EMPLOYEE COMPENSATION IS HIGHER, THEY MUST BE OVERPAID.

AS THE ADVISORY COMMISSION ON FEDERAL PAY HAS POINTED OUT, THE ADMINISTRATION IS TRYING TO BOLSTER THE POPULAR BELIEF THAT FEDERAL PAY IS TOO HIGH. THEY HAVE DONE THIS BY MAKING COMPARISONS BETWEEN AVERAGE PAY FOR TWO DISSIMILAR WORK POOLS INSTEAD OF COMPARING LIKE PAY FOR LIKE JOBS. I DO NOT DISPUTE THAT THE AVERAGE SALARY OF FEDERAL WORKERS IS GREATER THAN THE AVERAGE TAXPAYER'S. WE MUST RECOGNIZE, HOWEVER, THAT THE AVERAGE TAXPAYER IS NOT THE AVERAGE FEDERAL EMPLOYEE. THEIR LEVEL OF

EDUCATION, JOB TYPE AND YEARS OF EXPERIENCE ARE VERY DIFFERENT.

NOTHING IN THE PRIVATE SECTOR APPROACHES THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT IN SIZE, COMPLEXITY OR PROFESSIONALISM. THE SKILL LEVEL CONCENTRATION IS SIMPLY MUCH HIGHER IN THE FEDERAL SECTOR. IN SUPPORT OF THIS, I CITE THE FOLLOWING FACTS:

FIRST, THERE ARE TWICE AS MANY PROFESSIONAL, TECHNICAL, MEDICAL, ADMINISTRATIVE AND MANAGERIAL EMPLOYEES IN THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT AS THERE ARE IN PRIVATE INDUSTRY.

SECOND, MORE THAN 75 PERCENT OF THE FEDERAL WORKFORCE IS WHITE COLLAR. LESS THAN HALF OF THE PRIVATE WORKFORCE IS WHITE COLLAR. THIS EASILY ACCOUNTS FOR THE \$5,000 DIFFERENCE BETWEEN FEDERAL EMPLOYEES AND THE PRIVATE SECTOR.

THIRD, THE FEDERAL WORKFORCE IS MORE HIGHLY EDUCATED. MORE THAN 33 PERCENT OF ALL FEDERAL WHITE COLLAR EMPLOYEES HOLD A COLLEGE DIPLOMA AND AN ADVANCED DEGREE. SEVENTEEN (17) PERCENT OF THE PRIVATE SECTOR IS AS EDUCATED.

FROM THIS, I THINK THE SUBCOMMITTEE CAN SEE JUST HOW DECEPTIVE MR. CAMPBELL'S STATEMENTS REALLY ARE.

IN THE SAME LETTER TO EDITORS ACROSS THE COUNTRY, MR. CAMPBELL PROMISES \$3.2 BILLION IN SAVINGS IF THIS LEGISLATION IS IMPLEMENTED. MADAME CHAIRWOMAN, MANY PEOPLE

HAVE DONE CALCULATIONS USING THAT \$3.2 BILLION FIGURE, AND I'VE CHECKED THEIR MATHEMATICS. IF YOU TAKE \$3.2 BILLION AND DIVIDE IT BY THE NUMBER OF FEDERAL EMPLOYEES IN 1976 (WHICH IS THE LAST YEAR FOR WHICH A COMPLETE TOTAL FOR FEDERAL EMPLOYMENT IS AVAILABLE), YOU WILL FIND THAT A \$3.2 BILLION CUT IN EXPENDITURES FOR FEDERAL EMPLOYEES' SALARIES AMOUNTS TO \$1,500 PER EMPLOYEE. OBVIOUSLY, THIS \$3.2 BILLION FIGURE IS ABSURD.

WHAT WE HAVE HERE IS A SITUATION WHERE THE OFFICE OF PERSONNEL MANAGEMENT HAS PROMISED TO CUT \$1,500 PER EMPLOYEE WHILE MAINTAINING COMPARABILITY AT A TIME WHEN THE GOVERNMENT'S OWN STATISTICS SHOW THAT 4 OUT OF 5 WHITE COLLAR EMPLOYEES HAVE NOT ACHIEVED COMPARABILITY. OPM SEEMS TO BE PROMISING THE IMPOSSIBLE.

THE REAL TRUTH IS THAT ANY SAVINGS TO THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT FROM THIS PAY PLAN WILL BE CONSIDERABLY LESS THAN \$3.2 BILLION. THE ADMINISTRATION KNOWS THAT ANY CUT THAT EVEN APPROACHES \$1,500 WOULD WRECK MORALE AND PRODUCTIVITY IN THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT.

IF THE ADMINISTRATION IS IN EARNEST ABOUT REDUCING EXPENDITURES, I SUGGEST THAT THEY STOP ATTACKING THEIR OWN EMPLOYEES AND BEGIN A CAMPAIGN TO END FRAUD AND ABUSE IN GOVERNMENT SPENDING AND CONTRACTS. THESE ARE WRONGS THAT HAVE NO BENEFIT WHATSOEVER TO THE PUBLIC. FRAUD, ABUSE AND WASTE ARE THE WORST DRAIN ON THE TAXPAYER'S WALLET.

ALREADY THIS WEEK WE HAVE SEEN TWO REPORTS OF INCIDENTS WHERE THE TAXPAYER'S MONEY IS BEING SWINDLED. THESE REPORTS APPEARED IN SUNDAY'S AND MONDAY'S WASHINGTON POST. MADAME CHAIRWOMAN, I WOULD APPRECIATE IT IF THESE COULD BE PRINTED IN THE RECORD. (THANK YOU)

THE FIRST ARTICLE REPORTS THAT THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH, EDUCATION AND WELFARE (HEW) IS LETTING DOCTORS WHO HAVE BEEN CONVICTED IN COURT OF MEDICAIDE AND MEDICARE FRAUD TO ONCE AGAIN ACCEPT MEDICAIDE AND MEDICARE PATIENTS. THESE DOCTORS HAVE BEEN FOUND GUILTY OF PRACTICES SUCH AS FRAUDULENT BILLING, BILLING FOR SERVICES NOT PERFORMED, AND PERFORMING NEEDLESS TESTS OR SURGERY. NINETY FOUR (94) DOCTORS HAVE BEEN REINSTATED THIS YEAR ALONE. THEIR OVERCHARGES HAVE COST THE GOVERNMENT HUNDREDS OF THOUSANDS OF DOLLARS, AND THEY REPRESENT ONLY THOSE DOCTORS WHO HAVE BEEN CAUGHT.

IN ANOTHER INSTANCE WHICH WAS REPORTED IN SUNDAY'S PAPER, A CONSULTING FIRM WAS PAID \$29,000 TO STUDY WASTE IN THE WELFARE SYSTEM. UNFORTUNATELY, THIS WORK WAS NEVER DONE. THE SAME ARTICLE REPORTED THAT THE STUDY, WHICH COST THE TAXPAYERS \$184,000, WAS COMMISSIONED BECAUSE HEW FOUND IT HAD UNSPENT MONEY AT THE END OF THE YEAR. A PROPOSAL WAS WRITTEN IN 24 HOURS AND THE UNSPENT MONEY WAS AWARDED.

THESE TWO CASES ARE A FEW EXAMPLES OF WAYS IN WHICH THE FEDERAL GOVERNMENT COULD SAVE MANY TIMES MORE THAN THE \$3.2 BILLION WHICH THE OPM PROMISES IF THEY WOULD JUST BOTHER TO ACT. I THINK THE SUBCOMMITTEE WILL AGREE THAT THERE ARE FAR BETTER WAYS OF GETTING AT THE PROBLEM OF EXCESSIVE FEDERAL SPENDING THAN CUTTING THE SALARIES OF FEDERAL EMPLOYEES. THE INSPECTOR GENERAL OF THE DEPARTMENT OF HEW HAS REPORTED THAT THERE WAS \$3.9 TO \$4.2 BILLION IN FRAUD, ABUSE OR WASTE IN THE MEDICARE AND MEDICAID PROGRAMS ALONE IN 1978. I SUGGEST THAT WE BEGIN THERE IF WE WANT TO REDUCE WASTE IN THE FEDERAL BUDGET.

— FINALLY, MADAME CHAIRWOMAN, I WANT TO RE-ISSUE A WARNING I MADE IN MY EARLIER TESTIMONY.

ANYONE WHO LOOKS CAREFULLY AT THIS BILL, H.R. 4477, WILL NOTE THAT IN SECTION AFTER SECTION, CONTROL OVER PAY AND BENEFITS OF FEDERAL EMPLOYEES WILL BE CONCENTRATED INTO THE HANDS OF THE PRESIDENT OR HIS DESIGNATE. UNDER THIS LEGISLATION, CONGRESSIONAL AUTHORITY WILL BE LIMITED TO INITIATING A RESOLUTION DISAPPROVING PAY PLANS WORKED OUT BY THE PRESIDENT AND THE OPM. THIS IS A DELIBERATE ATTEMPT TO ESTABLISH THE KIND OF CONTROL OVER THE FEDERAL WORKFORCE THAT CIVIL SERVANTS FEAR MOST-- DISCRETIONARY CONTROL OVER PAY AND BENEFITS.

MADAME CHAIRWOMAN, IN 1970, WE HAD AN ADMINISTRATION IN THE WHITE HOUSE THAT ADVOCATED A "GET TOUGH" ANTI-CRIME POSITION. SINCE THE DAYS OF THE NIXON ADMINISTRATION, WE HAVE LEARNED THAT THAT ADMINISTRATION WAS ENGAGED IN A SYSTEMATIC EFFORT TO MANIPULATE CRIME STATISTICS TO THEIR OWN ADVANTAGE. THESE ACTIVITIES WERE REPORTED IN THE PRESS AND HAVE BEEN VERIFIED BY PERSONS IN THE ADMINISTRATION AT THAT TIME.

VERY SIMPLY, THE NIXON ADMINISTRATION WAS ABLE TO STATISTICALLY LOWER CRIME FIGURES IN THE CITIES OF POLITICAL OFFICIALS WHO WERE THEIR SUPPORTERS, AND BOOST THE FIGURES IN THE CONSTITUENCIES OF THEIR OPPONENTS. THIS IS AN EXAMPLE OF RAW POLITICAL POWER BEING USED IN A WRONGFUL WAY TO ACHIEVE A DESIRED END.

AS WE ALL KNOW, FEDERAL WAGES ARE BASED UPON A SET OF STATISTICS THAT, IN MATHEMATICAL SENSE, RESEMBLE CRIME STATISTICS. THIS IS THE ANNUAL FEDERAL WAGE SURVEY. I DON'T ASSUME THAT FUTURE ADMINISTRATIONS WILL ABUSE THE FEDERAL WAGE SURVEY IN THE MANNER THAT THE NIXON ADMINISTRATION ABUSED CRIME STATISTICS. UNDER THIS LEGISLATIVE PROPOSAL, HOWEVER, THE ABILITY OF ANY EXECUTIVE TO USE FEDERAL PAY AS A POLITICAL TOOL WILL BE DRAMATICALLY INCREASED. CONTROL OVER PAY AND BENEFITS WILL BE SO CONCENTRATED INTO THE HANDS OF THE EXECUTIVE THAT CONGRESS WILL BE UNABLE TO DETECT ANY MANIPULATION.

FOR THESE AND OTHER REASONS, I OPPOSE THIS
FEDERAL PAY REFORM PACKAGE. I SEE THIS LEGISLATION
AS AN ATTEMPT TO TRANSFER PUBLIC DISSATISFACTION OVER
THE PROBLEMS OF THE NATION ONTO THE BACKS OF FEDERAL
EMPLOYEES WHERE IT DOES NOT BELONG. FEDERAL EMPLOYEES
ARE NOT THE SOURCE OF THE PROBLEMS, AND ARE ONLY BEING
USED AS A SCAPEGOAT FOR THE COUNTRY'S WOES.