

LURLINE REPAIRS WILL BE RUSHED NIGHT AND DAY

Matson Schedule Not Likely to Be Altered, is Belief of Shipping Men Here

No advice as to what if any changes will be made in the Matson Navigation Company's schedule, while the Lurline now en route to San Francisco for repairs, is in drydock there, have yet been received by Castle & Cooke, local agent for the Matson line.

Wednesday morning the Lurline will reach San Francisco from Kahului and will at once go on the dock for permanent repairs to the damage done her hull when she ran aground off Makapuu Point the morning of October 15 while en route to San Francisco.

Work on the steamer will be rushed day and night in order to put her back on the run at the earliest possible moment. It is not considered likely by shipping men that there will be any alteration of the line's schedule to provide for 10-day sailings instead of the weekly service maintained before the Lurline hit the rock.

How long repairs will take cannot be determined until the Lurline is in drydock and the damage done her hull estimated accurately. The moment repairs are finished, which will take a month and possibly longer, the Lurline will be put back into service probably on her old schedule.

The Matson line has no steamer to replace the Lurline, as all its vessels were in service prior to the accident and all had more freight offering than they could carry. Congestion is likely to become greatly intensified while the Lurline is "on the shelf," because prior to her grounding all steamers of the fleet were hardly able to do any more than hold their own in reducing freight congestion which piled up in San Francisco during the long-shoremen's strike on the coast last summer.

Passenger business will not suffer much because of the Lurline accident, as the steamer is licensed to carry only 57 cabin and 18 steerage passengers. It is the freight situation which is suffering because of the big liner's accident. If she is off the run one round trip close to 16,000 tons of freight will accumulate, 8000 at each terminal. On her ill-starred voyage here when she grounded she had a total of 7569 tons in her holds for Honolulu and Kahului, 6500 tons for this and 1025 for the Maui port.

TRIAL OF JESSE DUKE ENTERS FOURTH DAY; SOLDIERS WITNESSES

Further trial of the case of Jesse Duke, negro, charged with the murder of G. F. George, who was an aged civilian employe at Schofield Barracks, was had in federal court this morning, at which time a number of witnesses, largely enlisted men, were called by the government. This is the fourth day of the trial, it having started last Wednesday.

Sergeant Patrick O'Shea testified that when he searched George's clothes he had found several articles, including a notebook, papers, a knife and a pack of playing cards. No money was found, the sergeant testified. Pvt. Gleason testified that he had gone to the south incinerator at about 11:35 o'clock on the night of the murder with Corp. Jones, but that he had not seen any person or persons in the vicinity except the corporal.

Further trial of the case will be had tomorrow morning, beginning at 8:30 o'clock.

With American countries are the United States their best markets. Over two-thirds of the goods exported from America first of 1916 were to these countries.

Things may call for refreshments of something from a big turkey to dainty sandwiches of sweetly flavored, tender boiled ham or cottage cheese. Whatever it is you've planned on, you can find us of service to you in providing the "eats."

PHONE 3-4-4-5 Metropolitan Meat Market

WE DO NOT TREAT, HEAL OR CURE, nor use drugs, surgery, osteopathy. The chiropractor adjusts the cause of the disease and lets Nature cure; she knows what is needed.

The chiropractor deals with conditions, not theories; that is why he adjusts the cause instead of treating the effect.

F. C. MIGHTON, D. C. W. C. WEIRICK, D. C. Former Director of Clinics, Pacific Graduate Palmer School of Chiropractic, Chiropractic Fountain, Honolulu, 424, Beretania St.

AMENDMENTS ARE NOT SEPARATION PROJECTS—BROWN

(Continued from page one)

had not heard of any movement to separate the Promotion Committee from the territorial government and he has no idea of the authority that made the statement.

The committee worked for several years to obtain the government appropriation of \$12,000 and it certainly has no intention now of eliminating it as it gives it an official status," he said. "When the territory appropriated the money," he continued, "it was specified that the governor should appoint a member from each of the four large islands to represent their country on the committee. The order that the committee shall meet at least once on each island during each year is one of the bylaws of the Chamber of Commerce and with which the territory has nothing to do."

Taylor added that when the Promotion Committee received the money from the territory the question came up as to how the traveling expenses of committee members should be paid. The matter was taken up with the finance and audit committee of the Chamber of Commerce and it decided that they should have all traveling and hotel expenses paid by the committee.

Relations Cordial "The relations between the other islands and members have been extremely friendly and cooperative," Taylor continued, "and I would not for a moment think of doing away with that cooperation as it has materially increased the work which the promotion is doing to advertise the Hawaiian Islands."

The amendments to its bylaws as proposed by the Chamber of Commerce, 15 in number, will be voted on at the regular meeting to be held Wednesday, November 15.

The principal ones in brief are: That on delinquency in the payment of dues members shall cease to belong to the chamber; making the third Wednesday in January instead of in March the date of the annual meeting of the chamber; forming a new committee of five members devoted to army and navy affairs; deleting the public finance, taxation and insurance committee; the finance and audit committee shall have charge of all funds of the Hawaii Promotion Committee and not of special funds and particularly the wording of section 11, third paragraph, is changed to read that "the committee shall expend funds derived through subscription or donation or from the territorial or county governments and which shall be shown to be required by budget, etc."

BIG VALUES IN SALE AT JEFFS

Silk Jersey Sport Coats, formerly priced at \$12.50 to \$19, at \$5. Afternoon, Evening and Street Gowns, formerly priced as high as \$50, at \$5, and Wash Dresses at \$3.50. These are all of the high quality maintained at Jeffs Fashion Company, and the sale offers an extraordinary opportunity that discriminating buyers will take advantage of.—Adv.

SPINNEY RECITAL AT PUNAHU THIS P. M.

Miss Deane will recite at the Punahele Club for Spencer in seventh grade. Score by innings: Nippona..... 1 0 0 0 0 0—1 St. Louis..... 0 0 0 0 0 0—0 Summary:—Two base hits, Yaw Bun; sacrifice hits, Muraahige; stolen bases, Kurasaki, Yamaguchi; double plays, King Tan to Haneburg to Lai Sin; hit by pitcher, Takamoto; bases on balls, off Hayselden 1, off Nushida 1; struck out, by Nushida 10, by Hayselden 7; umpires, Stayton at plate, R. Chillingworth on bases; time of game, one hour and fifteen minutes.

NOTICE

F. Hian, formerly with Pacheco's Barber Shop, is now connected with the Silent Barber Shop and solicits the patronage of his former customers. 6619—6t

RAWLEY'S PURE COTTAGE CHEESE Quality Inn

WALL & ROUGHERTY OPTICIANS Rooms 37-38 Phone 3320 Alex Young Building

POOL ROOM MAY BE STARTED UP NEAR JUNCTION

Parents whose children stop at Pawa Junction on their way to and from school will be interested in knowing that there is an application in prospect for a license to operate a poolroom and barber shop in one of the buildings at the Junction.

Several hundred children stop at the junction at least twice each day and the general surroundings of the junction are therefore considered of more than community interest in the opinion of some of the residents. Therefore the request has been made that the public generally be informed of the prospect of decorating the vicinity with a pool room.

Thus far the general atmosphere of the stores at the junction has been such as to arouse no adverse comment. A barber shop and poolroom would bring in the element and the atmosphere that is decidedly distasteful to the grownups to say nothing of what these same grownups think of surroundings for children.

Just at present the matter is understood to be up to the treasurer and the sheriff under whose authority the license is and will have to be issued.

CAPT. WARING DENIES HE MADE STATEMENT REGARDING RELATIVES

Capt. John B. H. Waring, in a written statement to the Star-Bulletin, denies a statement regarding relationship to Mrs. Woodrow Wilson, wife of the president, as attributed to him in a telephone conversation between this office and Schofield Barracks last Saturday. Capt. Waring's statement received today by mail is as follows:

"With reference to certain statements made in an article in the Honolulu Star-Bulletin of Saturday, October 28, 1916, I desire to say that I did not make the statements therein attributed to me in regard to any relationship that may or may not exist between the family of the wife of the president of the United States and the family of myself; and further that I did not authorize anyone else to make such a statement or statements.

"JOHN HARVIE WARING." The Star-Bulletin called Schofield Barracks last Saturday afternoon, asking for Capt. Waring. The person who answered the telephone stated that he was Capt. Waring, and was told of the Associated Press despatch from Washington to the effect that the president had commuted Capt. Waring's sentence of dismissal from the army to the loss of 25 files in rank as captain.

The party claiming to be Capt. Waring was then asked for confirmation of the story that he was a relative of Mrs. Wilson, the answer being that he was a distant relative of the president's wife but that he did not believe this made any difference with the decision.

DID IMMIGRATION OFFICIALS OVERSTEP THEMSELVES?

The hearing of cases known now in police court as the "littered house" cases was continued this morning. An objection which Attorney J. Lightfoot, attorney for S. Yamamoto, made last Friday to introduction of testimony obtained by immigration authorities of girls while they were in jail for vagrancy was overruled by Judge Monsarrat and one of the witnesses on the stand for the prosecution admitted that she had in police court Friday when she said that she had taken no one else to the dance rooming house besides one soldier with whom she was living. She had no reason for telling the falsehood while under oath. The cases were resumed again Wednesday morning.

The actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver testimony. The defense attorney answered that the government had no right under the sun to do that. He probably did not understand divorce proceedings not have given such allegations, but, having it, he intended of the most credit of the James A. Gandy office until the actual hearing of the evidence which had been introduced by Harry B. Brown of the immigration service and his stenographer, Reo Darrell Meek, Judge Monsarrat asked both the prosecutor and the defense what right the government of the United States had to go to the jail and to will deliver

Honolulu Star-Bulletin

RILEY H. ALLEN

EDITOR

MONDAY, OCTOBER 30, 1916.

ANOTHER LIFE SACRIFICED.

How many lives must be put out before Honolulu completes a sufficiently blood thirsty record to arouse the people to demand and secure a beach patrol.

The death of Sergeant Mitchell on Sunday adds one more to the terrible toll of lives that might have been saved by quick action by trained men, or one man who knew the currents of the outer reefs.

About one hundred people stood helplessly on the beach or along the reef inside the breakers and were able to do, absolutely nothing. They did not know the waters. They did not know possible openings in the reef where a boat might have gone through to the waters beyond the reefs.

They didn't know. They could not know. To have sent men through the breakers would have made certain the loss of other lives.

There should be a man on the beach who knows. There are plenty to be had. Efficient life savers are available.

How many lives must be put out before the people wake up to the fact that these lives are a human sacrifice on the altar of community indifference.

BRILLIANT LEADERSHIP.

The delegate to Congress in his speech of Friday night devoted a part of his valuable time to calling the editor of the Star-Bulletin names.

This is a fair demonstration of the amount of sense to be found in the circle of the delegate and his immediate advisors.

On South-of-Market stuff like this, they think they are appealing to the intelligent voters of the district.

PROTECTION OR AID?

(From Daily Financial America.)

According to the last report of the Bureau of Navigation our shipyards, working at capacity, turned out in the last year 1030 ships of 347,847 tons. Of this tonnage about one-third are wooden vessels, while they constitute 70 per cent of the number built. While the output of steel merchant ships is the largest in the history of our shipyards, it shows how inadequately we are fitted to take immediate advantage of our opportunities.

Congress is about to appropriate \$50,000,000 with which to buy or charter vessels. This seems a large amount, and in normal times would purchase or charter a considerable number of bottoms. Today, however, it does not loom up so big. Chartering prices prohibit us from using this method to establish trade routes, except to belligerent countries. It would be even almost impossible to charter vessels for this trade now, as the freight rates are too attractive to the present owners. To purchase vessels is, on the other hand, equally as foolhardy a proposition. Prices of merchant vessels are today anywhere from six to twelve times as much as they were before the war. At a recent sale in England a 3030-ton vessel, built in 1905 and sold in April, 1914, for \$112,000, brought \$1,000,000. A 2212-ton boat, built in 1888 and sold then for \$46,250, brought \$335,000. Another of 3131 tons, built in 1891 and sold in 1911 for \$50,000, brought \$573,000. While a twenty-nine-year-old bark of 2115 tons, which was sold for \$15,750 six years ago, brought \$200,000. These prices are sufficient as a guide to indicate how far our \$50,000,000 would go in the ship purchase line.

If we go into the building of ships, when may we expect to start our sailings? As is well known, we have only a few well equipped shipyards. The navy bill as at present constituted will demand the ways of these yards for the battleships and cruisers that

we so sorely need. Where will we get room for the merchantmen? Again, the yards are already working at capacity on the orders in hand and many of these are for foreign owners.

From these facts it can be seen that with the appropriation we are about to make, we could, five years ago, have bought ten times the tonnage we might be able to purchase today. This leads us to the suggestion, would it not be better to offer the \$50,000,000 as a subsidy to increase shipyard capacity and to intending ship builders? We could in this way awaken new steamship interests that at present are floundering in a sea of doubt. They cannot afford to purchase or charter and are impatient when informed that the yards cannot meet their immediate wants. We cry at times for protecting our industries. Does it not seem that the present is an opportune time to aid as well as protect?

CONSCRIPTION IN IRELAND.

The question of conscription for Ireland is fraught with such grave problems for Great Britain that it is no wonder the British statesmen are approaching it with hesitation in the session of Parliament just opened.

Several of the influential British papers, notably the Northcliffe press, led by the London Times, have been hammering hard for the extension of the compulsory recruiting act to Ireland. Their arguments were strengthened by the recent report of the Man Power Distributions Board, and by that of General Sir William B. Robertson, chief of the imperial staff, that more men are urgently needed.

Sir Edward Carson, the perennial agitator, is not quiet. He asserts that the situation is such that without conscription in Ireland it will be impossible even to maintain the Irish regiments at the front in their proper strength. He contends that Ireland could provide another half million men of military age and asks what the colonies, which have made such sacrifices for the empire, will think of the shirking of Ireland.

Despatches say that while most of the responsible politicians are agreed that it would be inexpedient to attempt to apply conscription to Ireland while home rule is withheld from it, undoubtedly in the present phase of the European war those who are demanding conscription hold a strong hand and the government may find it difficult to resist their demand.

The Nationalists, in reply to Sir Edward Carson, point out that the colonies already have self-government and hence there is no analogy with the position of Ireland. They assert that Ireland will be found to be a united nation in resistance to conscription.

The Liberal newspapers consider the agitation another attempt to unseat Premier Asquith, and contend that while the conscription in Ireland would provide a few men it would provoke another first-class Irish crisis and throw Ireland into the hands of the Sinn Feiners.

A voluntary tribute to the Star-Bulletin comes from the Huron High School, Huron, South Dakota. The school is using representative newspapers of the United States for the school of journalism and has chosen the Star-Bulletin as one of its selected list. This school of journalism is one of a number which study the Star-Bulletin as an illustration of contemporary newspaper-making.

President Samuel Gompers and the American Federation of Labor are delivering the goods for President Wilson according to program. Whether the minority of labor included in the American Federation will be an important factor in the election remains to be seen.

LETTERS OF THE WEEK

DEFENDING PVT. RICHARDS

Fort Shafter, H. T., October 26, 1916. Editor Honolulu Star-Bulletin.

Sir: I am of the opinion that it was not the intelligence of my letter of the 22nd instant that caused Detective McDuffie to back fire, as it was written in plain English, but the reflection I throw on his ability as a detective in bringing to justice the one who committed the crime. His incriminative evidence is all bosh as Richards was not in the vicinity of the crime on the 16th of September, but was down in the city, and was seen alive almost a week after he left. If he believed that Richards was the guilty man, why did not McDuffie try and apprehend him while Richards was alive and not wait until after he was dead. I wonder how he would feel if some one would insert an article in the paper that one of his comrades and friends had committed a similar crime, that is, after the comrade had died. Would not he also feel indignant and try if possible to clear his good name because the dead cannot plead for themselves.

The person who informed the Star-Bulletin that Richards stole the revolver is mistaken as he was company mechanic and had access to firearms at all times.

Very respectfully,
CORPL. W.

ANSWERS KONA CO. REPORT

Strawberry Grove, 28 1/2 Miles Oahu, Hawaii. Editor Honolulu Star-Bulletin.

Sir: In your issue of October 14, I see amongst others myself mentioned

in the article of reorganization of the Kona Tobacco Co. It says there that I spoiled the tobacco that was refused by the San Francisco dealers, and secondly that I only knew fermentation.

To the first I will say that I have planted, cured and fermented tobacco in most all tobacco producing countries in the world. Have raised tobacco in Hawaii, and sold it. Messrs. Louissou Brothers in Paaulo have watched me most interestedly at this, that a man could raise tobacco under condition that I was in. The tobacco returned from San Francisco could not have possibly been spoiled any worse, since it was already beyond this.

What made me disgusted with the whole thing of raising tobacco was when I went to Honolulu and tried to form a little \$25,000 company, I was everywhere set back with the answer that the Kona Tobacco Company had made a failure and they could not promote or encourage any further attempt in raising tobacco until this firm had succeeded.


Therefore these statements in your valuable journal are very harmful to me in case I should like to raise tobacco again for perhaps another firm.

Although I have made a success with strawberries I have no doubt were this a money producing industry I would be done out of it.

Hoping, dear sir, that you will kindly see to it that this is righted, I remain, very respectfully,

GUSTAV KRETZSCHMAR.

P. S.—I will also say that I am in possession of the most flattering correspondence about my tobacco raised in Paaulo, and also the tobacco which was so gloriously decorated at the Yukon, Alaska Exposition, which was



Timekeepers

A dependable watch of Wichman standard and proven make and pleasing in style, is a life-long source of gratification—it is a silent, true and ever-present friend "whose quiet voice speaks authority."

Patek Philippe
Howard
Walther
Swiss

H.F. Wichman & Co.

Platinumsmiths and Jewelers

4285 PUPILS ATTEND GARDEN ISLE SCHOOLS

Twenty schools on Kaula showed, up to October 25, a total enrollment of 4285 students, according to official figures which have been sent to the department of public instruction by the supervising principal of the Garden

The Kaula High school has an enrollment of 41 students from largest enrollments in the district the total being 1296, while the grades have an enrollment of 573. It is the largest school in the district and this average of enrollment is the same for the rest of the year.

The Pedro tournament of the meahema Alumni Association continued this evening at the house. Edward Kealoha and Desha are leading at the present time.

Republicans!

The impending election should be unqualifiedly successful for the local Republicans, judged by its previous successes, the attitude of the voters and the standing of the men who compose the Republican ticket.

But it is obviously true that there are a few on the ticket who are not so popular with the masses as some of their more fortunate brethren. To secure their election two things must be done: The voters must get out and vote and the Republicans must vote the straight Republican ticket.

A split ticket means defeat to the party. And a vote for a Democrat is a vote for free sugar!

REPUBLICAN TERRITORIAL CENTRAL COMMITTEE.

Circumstances reduce cost of Makiki property

Circumstances have stepped in to make a further bargain of a desirable property on Kewalo street.

6-room modern bungalow. Lot 60x130. Improved with lawn, shrubs, trees, etc. Street and sewer work in.

Present Price \$3500

PHONE 3477

TRENT TRUST CO. LTD.

RICHARD H. TRENT, PRES.
I. K. DEABLE, SEC'Y
CHAS. G. HEISER, JR., TREAS.

Vanity Purses

We carry a very large line of these in prices ranging from \$6.00 to \$30.00.

VIEIRA JEWELRY CO., LTD., 113 Hotel St.

Henry Waterhouse Trust Co., Ltd.

For Sale

Tantalus Property

Desirable home on Tantalus, consisting of one and a half acres of ground and commodious house, for sale at a bargain.

Price and terms at our office.

Henry Waterhouse Trust Co., Ltd.
Sole Agents
Fort and Merchant

SMALL INTERVIEWS

CAPT. CHARLES H. BAKER: If you don't have your Panama hats cleaned they are less likely to be stolen.

CARD B. ANDREWS: It has been so long since I attended a college fraternity meeting that I have almost forgotten the challenge.

JOE NOBREGA: I wouldn't mind having another vacation to recover from my last one. I was in bed with rheumatism most of the time.

RUDOLPH STEIN: While we were raiding a gambling game in a restaurant house the supports for the second-story lanai gave way and we nearly "got ours."

D. L. CONKLING: After going over the situation very carefully I believe the bond issue will pass. The people will vote "yes" because they believe it will give them more money.

WILLIAM L. CREED: Reservations are steadily pouring in on every boat for passengers who are coming on the Great Northern. I believe there will be the first class passengers on the boat for the first trip.

WALTER SANDERS: Clerking is a lot like cooking. If you don't work today, you'll be better off tomorrow.

WALTER SANDERS: I got a letter from my son, Barker, who is in Boston, sending me the details of the world's series and a souvenir baseball. The lad had been in the British army for August show.

tion for Walkid reclamation to the board of health in a few days, after which the condemnation proceedings will be up to authorities in that office.

WILLIAM P. JARRETT, high sheriff: It is usually only a matter of time before we capture convicts who escape from custody in these islands. As soon as they disappear we throw a close watch around the boats and open our "ground wires."

JACK LUCAS: I secured the purchase of the public bids at Kapoian park when a member of the county board of supervisors, and had hard work to obtain a majority to carry the deal. It is one of the best investments for the people of Honolulu which has ever been made.

HENRY PETERS: Customs Collector Franklin would have been envious Tuesday morning could he have seen us auctioning off that old junk of the board of health department. We sold the whole thing for \$10 to the Honolulu Junk Company. It will cost at least \$150 to cart it off.

E. A. MOTT-SMITH: I have never before seen so many people from Hawaii in San Francisco as during my recent trip. Everywhere I went I ran into them and all wanted me to knock off work and have some fun, but I was so busy I didn't even have time to catch a cold until coming back home on the steamer!

PALMER WOODS: I got a letter from my son, Barker, who is in Boston, sending me the details of the world's series and a souvenir baseball. The lad had been in the British army for August show.

my life I can't blame him. I'd do the same thing myself should I get a chance to see the major leaguers play.

CHARLES ISAKSON: Surfing on boards is by no means a lost art. One of our guests at the Seaside Hotel, Mr. J. A. Pratt, the paving man, has finally "fallen" for the sport after watching it daily and holding off for two months. He has a beautiful big board which looks like it might weigh over a ton but he certainly can make it speed.

A. F. COOKE: You can raise a crop of wheat in Kula, Maui, in three to four months and in that time the machinery could be brought here to grind. I recall the old flour mills in Honolulu. The first one was located on King street within the area of the \$475,000 Mahuka site. We might be able to raise wheat here and thus be prepared to avert the high priced flour for the coming year.

FRIENDLESS HAWAIIAN YOUTH SEEKING HOME

Who has a home for a friendless and homeless Hawaiian boy, 12 years old, who is an orphan?

A. Kalei Aona, clerk in Circuit Judge Whitney's court, wants to know. The youngster was brought to Honolulu from Laie last Saturday. His mother and father died some time ago and he has no place to go and no friends from whom to solicit aid.

Inquiries regarding the boy should be made to the juvenile court, judicial building. Aona says that a home for the boy in a Mormon family would be desirable. He is a native of the H. K. P. Co. taking Company.